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**Comment 1:** The referenced author is Rivero, not River.

**Reply 1:** Thank you very much for indicating this error. We have corrected our text as you advised.

**Changes in the text:** Please, see Page 3, Line 10.

**Comment 2:** There is a typo with a "()" that should be removed. Probably a previously deleted bibliographic reference.

**Reply 2:** Thanks for your kindly advise. We have added appropriate reference.

**Changes in the text:** Please, see Page 5, Line 24 and 26.

**Comment 3:** The Wein et al. reference is missing, which should be (1)

**Reply 3:** We have added appropriate reference.

**Changes in the text:** Please, see Page 6, Line 10.

**Comment 4:** Figure 1. The quality of the image can be improved. It is possible to obtain a more representative image. We recommend the author to include an arrow or other reference system to help the reader to understand the image. Is there any CT test with contrast? Rewrite the legend with specific data: Axial projection, with or without contrast....

**Reply 4:** We greatly appreciate the reviewer’s feedback. As you suggested we have added an arrow to show the tumour arising in the right parotid gland. We have also rewritten the legend of the figure, adding specific data such as projection and contrast of the examination. However, it is impossible to provide better quality image, as CT scans could be obtained only from our hospitals electronic documentation.

**Changes in the text:** Please see Page 10, Line 1-3.

**Comment 5:** Figure 2 and 3 legends. The author must introduce the reader to what he/she is reading. "Histologic slice...", do not write directly the staining.

**Reply 5:** Thank you for this feedback. We have modified figure legends as you advised.

**Changes in the text:** Please, see Page 11, Line 4-6 and Page 12, Line 3-4.

**Comment 6:** I think that providing 4 histological figures does not provide more information to the case, so we recommend concreteness in its use. Probably one or two histological figures would be more than enough.

**Reply 6:** Once again, we thank you for this valuable feedback. As you suggested, we have removed two figures with histopatologic slices. However, we left two of them, that in our opinion were crucial in the differential diagnosis.

**Changes in the text:** Please, see Page 11 and Page 12

**Comment 7:** Conceptually it is not very relevant that the case is the first published to date in Poland. If the publication were regional this assertion would have a meaning. Since Gland Surgery is a transnational publication, I recommend removing such an assertion, since the absence of previous publications in a particular country, such as Poland, is not relevant. It should be removed from the abstract, introduction and conclusions. Similarly, the author cannot infer that, as in the series of Gontarz et al. (Line 3, Page 6) no cases of basaloid carcinoma were found that have not previously occurred in Poland. However, this is not relevant. I recommend deleting this data.

**Reply 7:** We thank the reviewer for performing a thorough analysis of our study and providing these suggestions. As you suggested, we have removed sentence, that it was the first described case in Poland. However, we have added sentence that it is the first case of BSCC arising in parotid gland in Europe to emphasize its’ unique character in the worldwide literature.

**Changes in the text:** Please, see Page 2 Line 6, Page 3 Line 12, Page 7 Line 1.