Preoperative prediction of axillary lymph node metastasis in patients with breast cancer based on radiomics of gray-scale ultrasonography
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Background: To investigate the performance of a radiomics model based on gray-scale ultrasonography (US) for the preoperative non-invasive prediction of ipsilateral axillary lymph node (ALN) metastasis in patients with breast cancer (BC).

Methods: A total of 192 pathologically confirmed BC patients were included in this study. The training set was comprised of 132 patients from hospital 1 and the test set was comprised of 60 patients from hospital 2. All patients underwent US before percutaneous core biopsy and the results of ALN status reported by a radiologist with 12 years of experience were recorded. Radiomic features were extracted from the gray-scale US images. Max-relevance and min-redundancy (MRMR) and least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) were used for data dimension reduction and feature selection. A radiomics model was constructed using LASSO and was validated using the leave group out cross-validation (LGOCV) method. The performance of the model was validated with receiver operating characteristic (ROC), calibration curve, and decision curve analysis.

Results: A total of 860 features were extracted from the gray-scale US images of each breast lesion, and 9 radiomic features were selected for model construction. The area under the curve (AUC), sensitivity, and specificity of the model for predicting ALN metastasis were 0.85, 78.9%, and 77.3% in the training set and 0.65, 68.0%, and 79.4% in the test set, respectively. The prediction performance of the model was significantly higher than that of the radiologist (AUC: 0.85 vs. 0.59, P<0.01) in the training set and was slightly higher than that of the radiologist (AUC: 0.65 vs. 0.63, P>0.05) in the test set.

Conclusions: The non-invasive radiomics model has the ability to predict ALN metastasis for patients with breast cancer and may outperform US-reported ALN status performed by the radiologist.
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Introduction

Patients with breast cancer (BC) who have axillary lymph node (ALN) involvement, especially involving more than three nodes, have poorer outcomes than those without node involvement (1,2). There were 30.2–69.8% BC patients have lymph node metastases (3). Therefore, the
recognition of ALN metastasis is crucial for clinical decision making and determining the prognosis of patients with BC. Historically, ALN dissection (ALND) was considered a reliable technique for assessing axillary lymph nodal involvement (4) but because of side effects such as lymphedema, pain, limitation of shoulder movement, and weakness of the arm, ALND was replaced by sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) (2). However, clinical practice has shown that a significant number of BC patients undergo a secondary ALND when SLNB reveals major lymph node involvement (5), leaving no doubt that the accurate preoperative noninvasive assessment of ALN status is essential.

Preoperative noninvasive ALN assessment methods include axillary ultrasonography (US), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and mammography. Axillary US is the best method for assessment of preoperative noninvasive ALN (6) and is the safest and most feasible option for ALN staging (7). Axillary US can evaluate nodal morphology real-time, guide fine-needle biopsies, and was useful for predicting pN2 or higher ALN disease in BC patients (8). While traditional US mainly obtains visual image information and focuses on the qualitative analysis of tumors (2), the diagnostic performances of US to detect ALN involvement varies between studies (8-11). Given the limited ability of traditional US to evaluate ALN involvement, developing an applicable non-invasive method for detecting ALN metastasis has been a challenge.

Radiomics, which first proposed by Lambin et al. (12), developed rapidly based on medical big data and artificial intelligence and became hotspot of research. It can obtain high-throughput data from biomedical images, extract and analyze quantitative image features, and help quantify indistinguishable differences between tissues in biomedical images (12). In general, the workflow of radiomics include tumor segmentation, feature extraction, feature selection, model construction and model validation. Radiomic features contain first-order, shape, texture and wavelet feature. Radiomics may potentially improve diagnostic, prognostic, and predictive accuracy. Previous studies (13,14) have shown that radiomic feature in primary breast lesions and peritumoral tissue are closely related to tumor heterogeneity. To our knowledge, researches have mainly focused on MRI texture feature analysis (15,16) and there were few reports on US radiomics analysis. Sim et al. (17) developed a radiomics-based classifier to distinguish phyllodes tumor and fibroadenoma on gray-scale US images and achieved an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) of 0.765 in the validation set. This research showed the radiomic features extracted from US images are also relate to tumor heterogeneity. But there was no external validation in this study and the generalization capability of the model was not clear. To investigate the relationship between radiomic features based on US images of primary breast tumors and ipsilateral ALN metastasis, we developed a radiomics model based on gray-scale US images for the prediction of ALN metastasis in BC patients. Moreover, we carried out external validation for the model.

We present the following article in accordance with the TRIPOD reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs-21-315).

**Methods**

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by institutional ethics board of the Nanjing Drum Tower Hospital (hospital 1) and the First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui Medical University (hospital 2) (No. 20200171). Individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived.

**Participants and clinical data**

The training set of this study was comprised of 132 female patients with histologically confirmed BC from hospital 1 between December 2016 and December 2018. A total of 60 female BC patients were selected from hospital 2 as the test set according to the same criteria from January 2019 to December 2019. All patients underwent ultrasonographic evaluation of the breast tumor and axilla ipsilateral to the tumor and the images were stored in the ultrasonic diagnostic instrument in DICOM form. The patient's age, US-reported tumor size, histologic grade, histologic type, postoperative lymph node status, and the status of HER-2, PR, and ER were retrieved.

The inclusion criteria were (I) the lesion had undergone percutaneous core biopsy and was pathologically confirmed BC; (II) US examination of the breast lesion and ALN was performed within 2 weeks of biopsy; (III) the gray-scale image of the lesion in the largest long axis cross section was available.

The exclusion criteria were (I) patients had undergone preoperative therapy (radiotherapy or chemotherapy) before US examination; (II) the target lesion was not completely
visible in the US image.

**US examinations**

Gray-scale US images were obtained with the ACUSON S3000 system (Siemens Medical Solutions, Mountain View, CA, USA) and 9L4 linear array transducer (frequency range of 4–9 MHz, Siemens Medical Solutions) by a radiologist with 12 years of experience in breast US. The largest long axis cross-section for each breast tumor was routinely recorded (Figure 1).

US-reported ALN status was assessed according to the following criteria (18): (I) normal ALN present as oval or reniform in shape, with a thin (thickness is less than 3 mm), even, smooth, C-shaped hypoechogenic cortex, and a hyperechogenic central fatty hilum; (II) ALN for suspicious metastasis present as round shape, focal or eccentric thickened cortex (thickness is more than 3 mm), peripheral vascularization, and an indented or effaced fatty hilum. The radiologist was blinded to the clinical and pathologic information of patients.

**Region of interest (ROI) segmentation**

The ROI of the whole primary breast tumor on the gray-scale images of the largest long axis cross-section was manually segmented using open-source software ITK-SNAP 3.6.0 (https://www.itksnap.org) by the radiologist with 12 years of experience in breast US. Thirty patients were then randomly selected, and the ROI was delineated by another radiologist with 5 years of experience in breast US to assess the consistency of the readers. The workflow of tumor segmentation, radiomic features extraction, features extraction, model construction, and model validation are shown in Figure 2.

**Feature extraction and selection**

Firstly, we used a Z-score method to normalize each gray-scale image of the breast tumors, then radiomic features were extracted from each image using the MATLAB 2013a platform (MathWorks, Natick, USA). The radiomic features were classified into four categories of features, including first-order, shape, texture, and wavelet features (19). The inter-observer reproducibility of radiomic feature extraction were evaluated with intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC). When the ICC value reached 0.75, further analysis was performed using each feature (20).

Secondly, max-relevance and min-redundancy (MRMR) (21) was performed to reduce the dimension of the radiomics features and eliminate redundant and irrelevant features. Thirdly, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator (LASSO) (22) were used to select the most relevant features and LASSO constrain was used as the classifier to construct the final radiomics model. LASSO chose the optimal penalization coefficient parameter Lambda (λ) via 10-fold cross validation and determined the number of the feature by optimal λ in a coefficient profile plot on the training set (Figure 3A,B).

**Model construction**

The radiomics score (Rad-score) for each breast tumor was calculated by summing the selected features weighted by their coefficients. The Rad-scores of patients with and without ALN metastasis on the training set and test set were compared.

**Model validation**

In this study, the validity of the prediction model was
Figure 2 Flowchart of the study. First, the target tumor was manually segmented using ITK-SNAP. Next, a total of 860 features were extracted from each image in our study with MATLAB. MRMR and the LASSO were used for feature selection. In LASSO regression, a coefficient profile plot was drawn and resulted in nine radiomic features with nonzero coefficients. Thereafter, Rad-score was calculated by the selected nonzero coefficients features. Finally, the model was validated with ROC) calibration curve and decision curve analysis. MRMR, max-relevance and min-redundancy; LASSO, least absolute shrinkage and selection operator; ROC, receiver operating characteristic.
verified by discrimination, calibration, and clinical application.

**Discrimination**
The pathological results of ALNs were taken as the diagnostic criteria. The receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was plotted based on the pathological results of ALNs to show the performance for ALN metastasis prediction of the Rad-score and the radiologist in the training and test sets, and the areas under the curve (AUCs) were obtained to quantify the discriminating ability. In addition, we performed 100 times leave group out cross-validation (LGOCV) to verify the reliability of our results. The mean AUCs of training and validation sets were calculated, and the optimal cutoff value of the Rad-score which was calculated in the training set was applied to the test set for verification. The performance between the model and the radiologist were then compared.

**Calibration**
The calibration curve was plotted to explore the predictive accuracy of the model in the training and test sets. The calibration curves determined the coincidence between the predicted probability of ALN metastasis and the actual outcomes (i.e., the ALN metastasis) in both training and test sets. To evaluate whether the model was well-calibrated or not, the Hosmer-Lemeshow statistics were calculated to reflect the reliability of the calibration curves, and a P value greater than 0.05 was considered to be a good calibration.

**Clinical application**
The clinical decision curve analysis (DCA) was plotted to show the clinical effectiveness of the model by quantifying the net benefits at different threshold probabilities in the
Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with IBM SPSS 22.0 (IBM Corp, Armonk, New York) and R software (version 4.0.4; http://www.R-project.org). P≤0.05 (two-sided) was considered to be statistically significant. Continuous variables such as age were represented as mean ± standard deviation, categorical variables such as histological grade and histologic types were represented as N (%), and the Rad-score was represented as the median (interquartile range).

SPSS 22.0 was used to compare the variables between different cohorts. Continuous variables with a normal distribution such as age and US-reported tumor size were compared using the t-test, and continuous variables with an abnormal distribution such as Rad-score were compared using the Mann-Whitney U test. Categorical variables were compared using the χ² test.

R software was used for building and evaluating the prediction model. The LASSO analysis, LGOCV analysis, ROC curve, calibration curve, and decision curve analysis were performed using “glmnet”, “caret”, “pROC”, “Calibration Curves”, and “Decision Curve” packages, respectively.

Results

Clinical and pathological characteristics

A total of 192 BC patients, including patients with ALN metastasis (n=83) and without ALN metastasis (n=109), were included in this study. The incidence of ALN metastasis in BC patients was 43.2%. All patients were divided into a training set (n=132, from hospital 1) and test set (n=60, from hospital 2). The incidence of ALN metastasis in training and test set was 43.2% (57/132) and 43.3% (26/60), respectively. The clinical and pathological features of the training and test sets were compared (Table 1). There were no significant differences in the frequency of ALN metastasis, US-reported tumor size, histological grade, pathological type, HER-2, ER, PR, histological grade, and US-reported ALN status between the training and test sets (all P>0.05).

We also investigated the above characteristics between patients with and without ALN metastasis in the training and test sets (Table 2). There were statistically significant differences between patients with and without ALN metastasis in histological type, US-reported ALN status, and Rad-score (P<0.05) in the training set. In the test set, there was statistically significant difference in US-reported ALN status and Rad-score between patients with and without ALN metastasis (P<0.05). The accuracy of the US-reported ALN status in the training and test sets was 64.4% (85/132) and 61.7% (37/60), respectively, and there was no significant difference in US-reported ALN status between the two sets (P>0.05).

Radiomics feature extraction, selection, and model construction

A total of 860 features were extracted from each image in our study. These features included first-order features (n=18), shape features (n=38), texture features (n=460), and wavelet features (n=344). After evaluating the reproducibility of feature extraction and using the MRMR method, there were 30 features retained. Finally, in LASSO regression, a coefficient profile plot was drawn and resulted in 9 radiomic features with nonzero coefficients. These features were wavelet_HL_glcgm_Correlation, wavelet_LH_firstorder_Skewness, log_sigma_5_0_mm_3D_firstorder_Skewness, log_sigma_4_0_mm_3D_glszm_SmallAreaLowGrayLevelEmphasis, original_firstorder_Minimum, wavelet_HH_glcgm_Idc2, wavelet_LL_glszm_ZoneEntropy, wavelet_HL_firstorder_Skewness, and original_glcgm_Idmn (Figure 3C).

The final formula of the Rad-score was: Rad-score=−0.086*original_firstorder_Minimum+0.361*wavelet_LH_glcgm_Correlation+0.342*wavelet_LH_firstorder_Skewness+0.131*wavelet_HL_firstorder_Skewness+0.347*original_glcgm_Idmn+0.072*wavelet_LL_glszm_ZoneEntropy+0.133*log_sigma_4_0_mm_3D_glszm_SmallAreaLowGrayLevelEmphasis+0.083*wavelet_HH_glcgm_Idc2+0.168*log_sigma_5_0_mm_3D_firstorder_Skewness−0.329.

While there was no significant difference between the training and test sets in Rad-score (median, −0.902 vs. −0.667; P=0.788) (Table 1), there was statistically significant difference in Rad-score between the BC patients with and without ALN metastasis in the training set (median, −0.439 vs. −1.020; P=0.007), which was verified in the test set (median, −0.254 vs. −1.059; P=0.033) (Table 2).

Model validation

Discrimination of the model

The model showed a significant higher discrimination
performance than the radiologist in the training set [AUC, 0.85 (95% CI: 0.78–0.91) vs. AUC, 0.59 (95% CI: 0.51–0.67), P<0.001]. The model also presented a slightly higher discrimination performance than the radiologist in the test set [AUC, 0.65 (95% CI: 0.50–0.80) vs. AUC, 0.63 (95% CI: 0.50–0.75), P>0.05] (*Figure 4A,B, Table 3*). The sensitivity and specificity of the model were higher than US-reported ALN status in the training set (78.9%, 77.3% vs. 45.6%, 72.0%) and also verified in the test set (68.0%, 79.4% vs. 52%, 73.5%).

The LGOCV was performed 100 times to verify the reliability of the results, and in LGOCV analysis, a high pooled mean AUC (0.864 and 0.734 in the training and validation sets, respectively) suggested that the prediction model achieved better predictive efficacy than the radiologist.

**Calibration of the prediction model**

The calibration curves of the radiomics model in the training set (*Figure 4C*) revealed that the model showed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Training set (N=132)</th>
<th>Test set (N=60)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>54.07±11.12</td>
<td>54.35±11.38</td>
<td>0.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-reported tumor size (cm)</td>
<td>2.31±0.78</td>
<td>2.10±0.71</td>
<td>0.073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic grade, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>17 (12.9)</td>
<td>8 (13.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>84 (63.6)</td>
<td>35 (58.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>31 (23.5)</td>
<td>17 (28.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histologic type, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDC</td>
<td>100 (75.8)</td>
<td>52 (86.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>32 (24.2)</td>
<td>8 (13.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HER-2, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>62 (47.0)</td>
<td>21 (35.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>70 (53.0)</td>
<td>39 (65.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>104 (78.8)</td>
<td>44 (73.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>28 (21.2)</td>
<td>16 (26.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>87 (65.9)</td>
<td>35 (58.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>45 (34.1)</td>
<td>25 (41.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALN status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>56 (42.4)</td>
<td>26 (43.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>76 (57.6)</td>
<td>34 (56.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US-reported LN status, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.716</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN-suspicious metastasis</td>
<td>47 (35.6)</td>
<td>23 (38.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LN-normal</td>
<td>85 (64.4)</td>
<td>37 (61.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rad-score</td>
<td>−0.902 (−1.52, −0.27)</td>
<td>−0.667 (−1.64, 0.00)</td>
<td>0.788</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rad-score was represented by median (interquartile range) and P<0.05 indicated that the difference was statistically significant. US, ultrasound; IDC, invasive ductal carcinoma; HER2, human epidermal growth factor receptor; ER, estrogen receptor; PR, progesterone receptor.
an accurate agreement between the prediction of ALN metastasis in BC patients and observation in the training set. The Hosmer-Lemeshow statistics showed $\chi^2 = 8.507$, $P=0.386$ in the training set and showed $\chi^2 = 11.225$, $P=0.189$ in the test set, which revealed there is no statistically significant difference between the predicted value and the actual observed value, and the prediction model has good calibration ability in both the training set and the test set. (*Figure 4D*).

### Clinical application of the prediction model

Clinical application of the prediction model

The decision curve for the prediction model was shown in *Figure 5*. This showed that if the threshold probability exceeds 32%, the predictive model will obtain more benefit than all treatment protocols (assuming all BC patients had ALN metastases) or no treatment (assuming no ALN metastases in all breast cancer patients). Furthermore, if the threshold probability was between 38% and 53%, or >59%, using the radiomics prediction model to predict ALN metastasis would be advantageous.
**Figure 4** Model validation. (A,B) ROC curves of the radiomics model (red lines) and ALN status reported by a radiologist with 12 years of experience (blue lines) in the training (A) and test sets (B). (C,D) Calibration curves of the radiomics model in the training (C) and test sets (D). ROC, receiver operating characteristic; US, ultrasound; ALN, axillary lymph node.

**Table 3** The performance of radiomics model and US-reported ALN status in the training and test sets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Training set</th>
<th>Test set</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Radiomics model</td>
<td>US-reported ALN status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.78–0.91)</td>
<td>0.59 (0.51–0.67)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Threshold</td>
<td>−0.182</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>78.9%</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>77.3%</td>
<td>72.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>78.0%</td>
<td>60.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPV</td>
<td>72.5%</td>
<td>55.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV</td>
<td>82.8%</td>
<td>63.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, compared with radiomics model in the training set, P<0.001. ALN, axillary lymph node; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.
Our study developed a radiomics model based on gray-scale US images of breast cancer for the noninvasive preoperative prediction of ipsilateral ALN metastasis in breast cancer patients. The radiomics model was validated by ROC, calibration, and clinical decision curves in the test set. The AUC, sensitivity and specificity of the model was higher than the radiologist with 12 years of experience (0.85, 78.9%, 77.3% vs. 0.59, 45.6%, 72.0%, respectively) in the training set. If the threshold probability exceeds 32%, the predictive model will obtain more benefit than all treatment protocols (assuming all breast cancer patients had ALN metastases) or no treatment (assuming no ALN metastases in all breast cancer patients). If the threshold probability was between 38% and 53%, or >59%, using the radiomics prediction model in the study to predict ALN metastases shows a greater advantage than the radiologist.

**Discussion**

Our study developed a radiomics model based on gray-scale US images of breast cancer for the noninvasive preoperative prediction of ipsilateral ALN metastasis in breast cancer patients. The radiomics model was validated by ROC, calibration, and clinical decision curves in the test set. The AUC, sensitivity and specificity of the model was higher than the radiologist with 12 years of experience (0.85, 78.9%, 77.3% vs. 0.59, 45.6%, 72.0%, respectively) in the training set. Furthermore, the results were validated in the test set (AUC, sensitivity, specificity: 0.65, 68.0%, 79.4% vs. 0.63, 52.0%, 73.5%, respectively).

Radiomics can fully reflect the heterogeneity of tumors and has become a reliable potential biomarker for improving diagnosis, treatment decisions, and the prediction of treatment response. Radiomics has usually been performed based on MRI and computer tomography (CT) images of tumors (13,14,23). Compared with MRI, US has the advantages of convenient operation, real-time scanning, multi-section continuous dynamic scanning and so on. The combination of US and radiomics may be more favorable for breast cancer screening in China. Moreover, it is encouraging that a growing number of studies have demonstrated that ultrasonic images can also be used for radiomics analysis. In addition, the preoperative evaluation of ALN in breast cancer patients mainly depends on axillary US, Mammography and MRI (24). In generally, mammography is suboptimal for ALN evaluation because of the low sensitivity and accuracy and MRI has the highest sensitivity on ALN assessment (25). Axillary US is widely used to evaluate ALN status. Nonetheless, the results varied according to operator (25). Akissue de Camargo Teixeira et al. (26) demonstrated a nomogram model based on ultrasonic images of ALNs can predict ALN metastasis. In our study, radiomics was used to analyze the US images of breast tumors, and 9 features were extracted from each primary breast lesions. Among the 9 features, wavelet_LH_GLCM_Correlation showed the strongest correlation with ALN metastasis, while wavelet_LH_firstorder_Skewness and original_glcm_Idmn also showed a strong correlation. These radiomics features are higher-order features and represent the heterogeneity of the tumor and the slight difference of the gray and texture features (27). GLCM features have been widely used in many texture analysis applications, and most GLCM parameters could describe the echo pattern homogeneity (28). Some previous studies (29,30) showed GLCM features extracted from T1-weighted images of dynamic contrast enhanced and US images are correlated with LN involvements. In our study, GLCM features extracted from US image of primary breast lesions are also correlated with ALN metastasis. Furthermore, skewness was also shown to be associated with ALN metastasis in our study, which was consistent with Han et al. (30), who found skewness could predict ALN metastasis effectively. Tumors features at the genetic and cellular levels can be extracted on biomedical images (12,31). Therefore, radiomics features extracted from US image of primary breast lesions can well distinguish the invisible heterogeneity of tumors and are available to predict the status of ALN.

To improve the diagnostic accuracy, we developed a radiomics model to assess the ALN status and compared the performance of model with a senior radiologist with 12 years’ experience in US. The result revealed that the performance of the model was superior to the radiologist both in the training set and in the test set. Lee et al. (32) developed a radiomics model base on US features of
primary breast cancers and the performance of the model was superior to the clinicopathologic model. But there was no external validation in this research for the model. Our results were consistent with this study and we did external validation for the radiomics model. The results indicate that represented radiomics model based on US imaging provides a promising tool for predicting axillary lymph node metastasis in patients with breast cancer.

Traditional radiomics analysis mostly uses one feature selection method. Aiming to reduce overfitting effectively, our study adopted MRMR for feature selection in the first step and LASSO for feature selection in the second step. A previous study (33) selected features with LASSO only and developed a radiomics nomogram based on ultrasonic images in primary breast lesions and obtained moderate prediction performance both in primary and validation cohorts. To obtain a better predictive performance, we improved the feature selection method in our study, and finally achieved excellent prediction efficacy with an AUC of 0.85 in the training set and moderate prediction performance with an AUC of 0.65 in the test set. In LGOCV analysis, a high pooled mean AUC (0.864 and 0.734 in training and validation sets, respectively) indicated this is a relatively reliable and stable predictive model. In turn, this indicated that the radiomics feature analysis of primary breast tumors can effectively predict the status of ALNs.

There are several limitations to our study. First, this research is based on gray-scale US images which cannot completely overcome operator dependency and might result in sampling bias. Second, all the US data were obtained from the same type ultrasonic system, and the predicational model was not validated on samples from other instruments. Therefore, the prediction model has not been applied in clinical and the applicability of this model requires further evaluation. Thirdly, our study only analyzed the relationship between the radiomics features of primary breast tumor and the status of ALNs and did not further analyze the radiomics features related to the number of metastatic SLNs. Therefore, in future studies we will further analyze the relationship between the radiomics features of BC and the number of metastatic SLNs. These findings may improve the ability of clinicians to influence therapeutic regimens and clinical decision-making in the management of BC patients.

In conclusion, we developed and validated a novel non-invasive preoperative radiomics model for ALN metastasis based on gray-scale US images of breast primary tumors. The model has the ability to predict ALN metastasis for patients with breast cancer and may outperform US-reported ALN status performed by radiologists.
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