Deployment of a bioabsorbable plate as the rigid buttress for skull base repair after endoscopic pituitary surgery
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Background: Bioresorbable alloplastic implants have become desirable as a rigid buttress for reconstructing skull base defects. This study aimed to describe the use of a biodegradable plate (PolyMax RAPID) in skull base repair of endoscopic endonasal pituitary surgery and to investigate the clinical outcome and safety of this novel method.

Methods: Between January 2019 and January 2020, 22 patients with pituitary adenomas who underwent endoscopic skull base repair with a Polymax RAPID plate were included. After endonasal transsphenoidal surgery, a trimmed bioresorbable plate was placed in the position between the dura and the bone of the skull base to reconstruct the sellar floor and buttress the pituitary gland and sellar packing. The patient demographics, radiologic imaging, and postoperative outcomes were carefully reviewed. All patients were followed up by a routine nasal endoscopic assessment and radiologic examinations.

Results: The present study comprised 10 (45.5%) males and 12 (54.4%) females with an average age of 51.9 years. There were 7 (31.8%) growth hormone (GH) secreting adenomas, 2 (9.1%) thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) secreting adenomas, and 13 (59.1%) non-functioning adenomas. Enlarged sellar floor and paranasal sinusitis were seen in 13 (59.1%) and 11 (50.0%) cases shown by preoperative computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), respectively. There were 6 (27.3%) grade-1 and 16 (72.7%) grade-0 cases by intraoperative cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak grading. None of these patients received lumbar drains postoperatively and no postoperative CSF rhinorrhea was detected in our series. The PolyMax RAPID plates which could be clearly identified on postoperative CT or sagittal T1-weighted MRI were shown to provide an ideal rigid buttress for sellar repair.

Conclusions: The PolyMax RAPID plate can be an optimal implant to achieve rigid repair of sellar floor defects after endonasal transsphenoidal pituitary surgery.
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Introduction

Over the last 2 decades, the endoscopic transsphenoidal approach has been widely accepted as the predominant method for resection of pituitary adenomas and sellar lesions around the world (1,2). A reliable skull base reconstruction after endoscopic pituitary surgery is necessary since insufficient repair can be associated with cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leakage, meningitis, and pneumocephalus (3,4). Numerous reconstruction methods have been introduced with a variety of materials, each with particular advantages and disadvantages regarding efficacy, postoperative complications, and strength (5,6).

The addition of a rigid buttress to skull base reconstruction should be considered because it provides structural support in holding repair materials in position and prevents graft migration by intracranial pulsation and gravity (5-7). PolyMax RAPID (Synthes; Oberdorf, Switzerland), which is manufactured from 85:15 poly l-lactide-co-glycolide, has been approved for craniofacial reconstruction. There have been multiple studies for its use in zygomaticomaxillary complex and orbital floor fracture repair (7,8). It can maintain 85% of its initial bending strength at 8 weeks. Within approximately 12 months of placement, the material gradually loses integrity and breaks down into small particles. The polymer is broken down into lactic and glycolic acids, which are eventually eliminated through natural body metabolism without toxic tissue accumulation (7,9).

The method of skull base closure using this material after endoscopic pituitary surgery was first described in 2013 (7). However, in that report, there were no endoscopic examinations or radiological imaging and only 4 patients were included. The objective of this study was to evaluate the outcome of skull base reconstruction using this novel bioabsorbable plate in patients undergoing endoscopic pituitary surgery. The technique of sellar repair using a PolyMax RAPID plate as a rigid buttress is described. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs-20-642).

Methods

Patient population

We performed a retrospective review on all patients who underwent endoscopic pituitary surgery with skull base repair with a Polymax RAPID plate at the Department of Neurosurgery at Qilu Hospital of Shandong University between January 2019 and January 2020. This study was approved by the institutional ethics committee of Qilu Hospital of Shandong University (KYLL-2017(KS)-090), and was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The requirement of obtaining individual consent was waived for this retrospective analysis.

Perioperative evaluation and intraoperative CSF leakage grading

Tumor size was determined by preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Computed tomography (CT) of paranasal sinuses was also done for all cases preoperatively. Medical records were reviewed for patient demographics, tumor characteristics, perioperative variables, incidence of complications, and postoperative outcomes. Intraoperative CSF leaks were categorized into grades 0, 1, 2, or 3 based on Kelly's classification (6,10). The clinical data of these patients were separately reviewed by 2 neurosurgeons (QQ and YZ). Inconsistent results were confirmed and recorded together with the review of a third neurosurgeon (SN).

Surgical procedure and repair protocol

All pituitary adenoma patients underwent tumor removal through an endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approach. Bilateral sphenoidotomy was performed and the posterior of the nasal septum, rostrum of the sphenoid bone, and any obstructive septations in the sphenoid sinus were resected. After tumor resection, sellar defect closure was performed by a multilayer repair (Figure 1). An artificial dural substitute (DuraMax, TianXinFu; Beijing, China) was positioned first in the epidural space. The gelatin sponges were then wedged into the sellar space as a plug. The above procedures are the standard reconstruction method used prior to introducing this bioresorbable plate. After this, a PolyMax plate was deployed in the space with two ends between the dura and bone edges of the sellar defect. The plate was 1.2 mm thick and can be easily bent and trimmed with scissors after submerging in a 70 °C water bath. The defect size was estimated using a cottonoid in situ and the plate was subsequently shaped into a slight convexity with the fit size. It is transparent with some flexibility retained, which preserves visualization of the defect margins and underlying tissue during positioning and decreases the potential risk of injury to neurovascular structures. The
free mucosal flap dissected from the sphenoid sinus was used to cover the sellar floor. An on-lay cover with the SURGICEL fibrillar absorbable hemostat (Ethicon; San Lorenzo, Puerto Rico, USA) and gelatin sponge were subsequently used. Iodoform gauzes and Suntouch sponges (Foryou Medical Devices; Huizhou, China) were placed in the sphenoid sinus and nasal cavity for 3 days as a temporary buttress. Postoperative CT at the first day after surgery was conventionally performed to exclude intrasellar hemorrhaging and to identify the position of the PolyMax plate. In all cases, prophylactic intravenous antibiotics were administered 30 minutes preoperatively for 48 hours following surgery as standard of practice at our institution.

**Follow-up**

The postoperative nasal endoscopic assessment and debridement if needed were performed by the otolaryngologist at 1 month after surgery. The patients were also followed up by appointed clinicians to do routine radiological examinations at 3, 6, and 12 months after surgery. Postoperative adverse events, such as CSF rhinorrhea, infection, hemorrhage, headache, or nasal discomfort, were recorded if present.

**Results**

Twenty-two patients who underwent skull base repair with the PolyMax RAPID bioabsorbable plate were identified by the retrospective review. All cases were pituitary adenomas resected by purely endoscopic endonasal transsphenoidal approaches. The clinical characteristics of patients included are listed in Table 1. The present study comprised 10 (45.5%) males and 12 (54.4%) females. The mean age of the patients was 51.9 years ranging from 18 to 68 years. The mean body mass index (BMI) of the patients was 24.6 ranging from 20.4 to 30.4. This series consisted of 7 (31.8%) growth hormone (GH) secreting adenomas, 2 (9.1%) thyroid...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Age, years</th>
<th>BMI</th>
<th>Tumor type</th>
<th>Tumor size, cm</th>
<th>Sellar floor</th>
<th>Paranasal sinusitis</th>
<th>Comorbidities</th>
<th>CSF leak</th>
<th>Lumbar drain</th>
<th>Postoperative CSF rhinorrhea</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>GH, pituitary apoplexy</td>
<td>1.4×1.2×1.2</td>
<td>Enlarged, bone defect</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hypertension, pneumonia, CAHD, T2DM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>2.0×1.4×1.2</td>
<td>Enlarged, bone defect</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>2.4×2.4×2.2</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hypertension, CAHD, T2DM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>NF, low cortisol level</td>
<td>3.2×2.3×2.3</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Cerebral infarction, hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>NF, low cortisol level</td>
<td>1.8×1.3×1.1</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hypertension, pneumonia, CAHD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>26.7</td>
<td>GH, recurrence</td>
<td>2.0×2.0×1.4</td>
<td>Enlarged, bone defect</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Colon adenoma</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>2.4×1.4×1.7</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>1.6×1.4×1.2</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>2.4×2.4×1.9</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Pneumonia, CAHD</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>2.5×1.8×1.6</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>2.4×2.3×1.6</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>1.8×1.7×1.3</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>OSAHS, hypertension</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>1.0×1.0×0.9</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>OSAHS, hypertension</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>2.8×2.5×2.3</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>1.7×1.5×2.0</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pneumonia, T2DM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>2.6×2.5×2.4</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>NF, pituitary apoplexy</td>
<td>1.5×1.3×1.2</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>1.8×1.2×1.5</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>T2DM</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>3.4×2.4×2.0</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>21.3</td>
<td>NF, pituitary apoplexy</td>
<td>2.8×1.4×2.3</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>NF</td>
<td>2.2×1.7×2.0</td>
<td>Enlarged</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hypertension, hyperthyroidism</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>GH</td>
<td>2.0×1.8×1.4</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

F, female; M, male; BMI, body mass index; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid; GH, growth hormone; NF, non-functioning; TSH, thyroid stimulating hormone; CAHD, coronary atherosclerosis heart disease; T2DM, type 2 diabetes mellitus; OSAHS, obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome.
stimulating hormone (TSH) secreting adenomas, and 13 (59.1%) non-functioning adenomas. Among these patients, there was 1 (4.5%) recurrent pituitary adenoma and 3 (13.6%) cases with pituitary apoplexy. An enlarged sellar floor and paranasal sinusitis were seen in 13 (59.1%) and 11 (50.0%) cases by preoperative CT or MRI, respectively. Comorbidities included hypertension, pneumonia, coronary atherosclerotic heart disease, type 2 diabetes mellitus (T2DM), cerebral infarction, hyperlipidemia, colon adenoma, obstructive sleep apnea-hypopnea syndrome (OSAHS), and hyperthyroidism. There were 6 (27.3%) grade-1 and 16 (72.7%) grade-0 cases by intraoperative CSF leak grading. None of these patients received lumbar drains postoperatively and no postoperative CSF rhinorrhea was detected in our series.

The position of the PolyMax RAPID plate could be clearly identified on CT or sagittal T1-weighted MRI images (Figure 2). The postoperative radiologic examinations showed no horizontal or vertical migration of the implants (Figure 3). All patients underwent nasal endoscopic assessment and debridement 1 month after surgery. None of the included patients were noted to have epistaxis, CSF rhinorrhea, or local inflammatory reactions. Complaints of slight nasal stuffiness and tolerable headache were observed in 3 (13.6%) and 2 (9.1%) cases respectively during follow-up.

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, the bioresorbable mini-plate manufactured from 85:15 poly L-lactide-co-glycolide was first introduced as a rigid buttress for sellar repair of 4 patients by Tabaee et al. (7). That is also the only publication regarding the deployment of this bioabsorbable implant after endoscopic pituitary surgery. Our study provides the largest number of cases to date and makes an important contribution to the field by summarizing their clinical characteristics, radiologic features, and the efficacy and safety of skull base reconstruction using PolyMax RAPID.
Skull base repair after endoscopic pituitary surgery, which mainly consists of intrasellar packing and reconstruction of the sellar floor, is vital for the patient’s quality of life. If the reconstruction of the sellar floor is inadequate, intrasellar packing may migrate by CSF pulsation and gravity, leading to an increased risk of CSF rhinorrhea or meningitis. We prefer to apply a rigid material to reconstruct the sellar floor because it can efficiently support packing materials in the sellar cavity, especially in cases with a bone defect of the sellar floor or an empty sellar preoperatively; a comorbidity including hypertension, pneumonia, or T2DM; or high BMI.

The use of autologous bone fragments from the middle turbinate, nasal septum, and anterior sphenoid wall presents some problems such as irregular size, thickness, and border (11). Alloplastic rigid materials such as titanium, porous polyethylene (MEDPOR), and silicone are characterized by strong tensile strength and good stability and are readily available and easy to handle (3,12-15). However, permanent foreign buttress which undergo minimal or no resorption are difficult to remove at reoperation and relatively susceptible to infection, hemorrhage, migration, and exposure over time. A potential risk of injury to neurovascular structures by titanium during positioning should also be noted (6). Silicone plates were reported to induce a local inflammatory reaction postoperatively (16). Therefore, bioresorbable alloplastic implants, which offer a useful alternative in the reconstruction of skull base defects, have been increasingly popular. Currently available bioresorbable implants, including Resorb-X, MacroSorb, polydioxanone flexible plates, and LactoSorb, have been reported for endoscopic skull base repair (5,11,17,18).

Compared to these studies, the PolyMax plate has the following advantages. It has (I) adequate tensile strength for a rigid buttress, is (II) easily bent by a heated water bath and can be trimmed with scissors to fit the defect, (III) retains some flexibility which decreases the potential risk of injury to neurovascular structures during positioning, (IV) is easily identified by CT or MRI with no radiologic artifact, (V) can

Figure 3 Radiologic images showing no migration of the implants during follow-up. The red arrow indicates the PolyMax plate. (A) T1 contrast-enhanced sagittal view of preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). (B) Sagittal view of preoperative computed tomography (CT) image. (C) Sagittal view of CT scan at the 1st day after surgery. (D) T1 contrast-enhanced sagittal view of MRI at the 5th day after surgery. T1 contrast-enhanced sagittal view of MRI performed 3 (E) and 6 (F) months after surgery showing no migration of the implant.
be easily removed at reoperation through the nostrils, and (VI) is bioresorbable material with good biocompatibility.

A few limitations in this study should also be addressed. The cases in our series are mainly grades 0 and 1 CSF leaks. Although we had a 100% success rate in our skull base repairs, the efficacy of this method for high-flow CSF leakage remains unclear. Our series was additionally limited to sellar repair of endoscopic pituitary surgery and therefore it does not investigate the role of sellar repair using this biodegradable plate for other types of skull base defects or parasellar lesions.

Conclusions

The findings of this retrospective study imply that the PolyMax plate provides an alternative option for skull base reconstruction after endoscopic endonasal pituitary surgery. It may be considered for a variety of sellar or anterior cranial fossa defects. The long-term outcomes and complication rates need to be evaluated with further data.
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