Exploring the lengthiest ambulatory breast surgery clinic appointments: is the patient the problem?
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**Background:** Prolonged outpatient clinic appointments can disrupt schedules, impacting patients and providers. We sought to define features of the longest ambulatory appointments in a breast surgery clinic, and to ascertain whether patients attending these appointments consistently have protracted appointments.

**Methods:** A single-institution retrospective cohort study was conducted of breast surgery clinic patients, January 2017 to May 2019, and the longest 1% of appointments identified using a real-time patient tracking system. A primary chart review was performed, and data abstracted. Other appointments attended by these patients were identified, and the ratio of appointments >75th percentile duration (protracted appointments) to the total appointments during the study period was calculated, enabling comparison for patients with consistently protracted (ratio >50%) vs. sporadically protracted appointments (≤50%). Descriptive analysis was performed, and results reported as medians with inter-quartile ranges.

**Results:** A total of 15,265 clinic appointments were identified, and the longest 148 (exceeding 244 minutes) analyzed. Median appointment length was 264 minutes (inter-quartile range: 253–290). 70% were new patient appointments, and 54% of patients underwent a test/investigation that day. A minority were obese (39%), smokers (41%), diagnosed with a psychiatric comorbidity (34%), had a genetic cancer syndrome (22%), or received unexpected news at their appointment (16%). Of 118 patients with multiple appointments, 26% had consistently protracted appointments and 74% sporadically protracted appointments.

**Conclusions:** The lengthiest appointments are usually newly diagnosed cancer patients. Only a minority of patients have consistently protracted appointments, implying that a patient’s previously prolonged appointment may not predict future long appointments.
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**Introduction**

Outpatient clinic appointments are ubiquitous in clinical medicine and surgery. Myriad factors pressure physicians and healthcare providers to spend only as much time as is needed on each encounter (1). Several variables can increase or decrease ambulatory visit duration including disease complexity, the involvement of trainees, and patient factors such as late arrival time (2-4); however, there is a paucity of data regarding the extreme outliers whose appointments are the longest. Lengthy appointments can disrupt schedules for providers, patients, and families, both those directly involved in the protracted appointment, and everyone seen hence in clinic that day (5). This can in turn affect patient satisfaction and the perception of care received, with ramifications for institutional funding and referrals (6). It is not known whether the individual patient is the primary determinant of an extremely long appointment, or if other factors such as unexpected findings to communicate or a large number of pre-appointment tests to review are the principal contributors.

We sought to elucidate the longest appointments and to describe the patient- and appointment-related factors underlying them in an ambulatory breast surgery clinic setting at a high-volume cancer center. We attempted to ascertain the degree to which those patients attending the longest appointments consistently had appointments of protracted duration, or whether these lengthy appointments were unrelated to the patients themselves. We hypothesized that those patients attending the longest appointments would consistently have lengthy appointments. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first study investigating whether those patients with lengthy clinic appointments have consistently versus sporadically protracted appointments. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs-20-623).

**Methods**

**Study cohort and database description**

The study was designed as a single-institution retrospective cohort study. Outpatient breast surgery clinic appointments at a single institution (Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center) from January 1, 2017 to May 21, 2019 (inclusive) were identified, and the corresponding data from a real-time patient tracking system included in this study. Each appointment and the medical record number (MRN) corresponding to the patient attending that appointment was captured in the dataset. The appointment duration, measured from check in time to check out time [total appointment length (TAL)], was calculated using the precise clock times recorded by the clinic registration staff, rounded to the nearest minute. Appointments missing values for either check in or check out times were excluded from analysis. Time spent away from the breast surgery clinic (i.e., if a patient was seen in the clinic, then was sent for an imaging test, then returned to clinic with the results) was subtracted from the appointment duration from the time the patient left the clinic area to the time they returned. The patient tracking system also recorded the clinicians involved in each appointment, including trainees (residents or fellows) and advanced practice providers (APPs). Trainees saw patients under the attending surgeon's supervision (the trainee saw the patient first, then the attending). APPs saw some patients under the attending surgeon's supervision, and other patients independently for pre-determined designated appointments (i.e., surveillance, high risk follow-up).

Appointments were categorized into six types based on patient history (for established patients) and referral information (for new patients): new malignant (new patient diagnosed with cancer); new benign (new patient with benign disease); new high risk (new patient for high risk evaluation); pre-operative (established patient seen prior to operation); post-operative (established patient seen at first appointment after operation); and follow-up (established patient seen for surveillance, screening, monitoring). The classification of appointment type was compiled prior to the clinic in a daily appointment list, which was linked to the appointment duration dataset.

**Patient and appointment variables**

Appointment duration was treated as a continuous variable. The longest 1% of appointments were identified, and a primary chart review performed of corresponding clinic records (notes) contained in the institutional electronic medical record. Data extracted included AM vs. PM appointment start time, appointment type, the type of clinicians involved (attending MDs, APPs, trainees), the scheduled appointment duration (30, 45, or 60 minutes), and whether the patient received unexpected news at that appointment. Unexpected news was defined as including a diagnosis of recurrent cancer, as well as other findings identified by either the healthcare provider or patient such as positive genetic test results. Scheduled
Appointment duration was determined prior to the visit by the clinic scheduling team, and generally corresponded to the appointment type (new patients scheduled for 60 minutes, routine follow-up appointments for 30 minutes). Also recorded were the number of test results (imaging studies, pathology reports, genetic tests) reviewed at that appointment, calculated by counting the number of test results included in that clinic note, as well as whether or not the patient underwent a test (imaging, biopsy) at the institution that day. Routine laboratory tests (i.e., bloodwork) were not included. The patient corresponding to each appointment was identified using their MRN, and the following data abstracted for each patient: age at appointment; body mass index (BMI), number of comorbidities (excluding breast disease); presence of one or more psychiatric comorbidities; smoking status (current or former smoker vs. never smoker); and presence of a known or suspected genetic disorder.

**Consistently protracted vs. sporadically protracted appointments**

The 75th percentile appointment duration was calculated for each appointment type using all of the appointments attended by all patients at the breast surgery clinic during the study period. Appointment durations exceeding the 75th percentile (upper quartile) for the corresponding appointment type were termed “protracted appointments”. Using the MRNs of patients with the longest 1% of appointments, all other breast surgery clinic appointments attended by these patients during the study period were identified and the duration of each appointment calculated. Thus, the number of protracted appointments was determined for each patient with one or more appointments in the top 1%. For each of these patients, the ratio of protracted appointments to total appointments during the study period was calculated, and the number of patients with a ratio greater than 50% was determined. These patients were deemed as having consistently protracted appointments, versus the others who had sporadically protracted appointments. Patients with only a single appointment during the study period were not categorized as having either consistently or sporadically protracted appointments.

**Statistical analyses**

Descriptive analysis was performed and non-parametric tests (Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis) used to compare patient characteristics between individuals with consistently protracted vs. sporadically protracted appointments. Results were presented as median values with inter-quartile ranges (IQRs). Due to the small size of the cohort, multivariable analysis was not performed. Two-sided hypothesis testing was employed for statistical analyses, and statistical significance established at an alpha of 0.05. SPSS version 25 was used for all analyses.

**Ethics statement**

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the institutional ethics board of Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center (No. 00001124) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived.

**Results**

**Cohort description**

There were 15,265 appointments in the ambulatory breast surgery clinic between January 1, 2017 and May 23, 2019. Of these, appointments missing a valid check out (clinic departure) time (N=1,102) and those missing a valid check in (clinic arrival) time (N=135) were excluded. The longest 1% of TALs among the remaining 14,028 appointments included all appointments equal to or exceeding 244.0 minutes in duration. There were 148 appointments in the longest 1% subgroup, with a median duration of 264.0 minutes (IQR: 253.0–289.75). The longest appointment included lasted 438.0 minutes. The clinician and appointment types of the longest 1% subgroup are presented in Figure 1. Most appointments (69.6%) were new patients (56.8% malignant, 12.8% benign), and 70.3% were seen by an APP plus attending MD.

**Appointment characteristics**

The characteristics of the longest 1% of appointments are presented in Figure 2. Approximately half (54.1%) occurred on the same day as the patient underwent one or more tests (imaging study and/or percutaneous procedure) at the institution. A minority of the longest appointments included the delivery of unexpected news or diagnosis of recurrence. Notably, 40 of the longest appointments were booked for 30 minutes duration (the shortest amount of time). The median number of tests reviewed (including imaging, biopsies, and genetics) in the longest 1% of appointments...
was 5 (IQR: 1–17), and 75% had 6 or fewer tests reviewed in their clinic note. There were no recorded instances of clinic procedures (i.e., skin biopsy, seroma aspiration) performed during any of these appointments.

### Patient characteristics

Attending the 148 longest appointments were 145 individual patients, with 3 patients each having 2 appointments, and 142 patients having 1 appointment. The characteristics of the included patient cohort is presented in Figure 3. All patients were female, and the median age was 59.0 years old (IQR: 50–67). A minority of patients were obese (38.9%), current or former smokers (40.7%), diagnosed with a psychiatric comorbidity (33.8%), or had a known or suspected genetic cancer syndrome (22.1%).

### Consistently protracted vs. sporadically protracted appointments

Among the 145 patients with the longest appointments, 27 had only a single appointment recorded during the study period (i.e., the longest appointment), and 118 patients had multiple appointments documented (i.e., one or more
appointments in addition to the longest appointment. The number of appointments during the study period per patient is displayed in Figure 4.

Of the 118 patients with multiple appointments, 31 patients (26.3%) had >50% of their appointments exceed the 75th percentile of time length for that appointment type (i.e., consistently had protracted appointments), and 87 (73.7%) had ≤50% in the top quartile of time lengths (i.e., sporadically had protracted appointments) (Figure 5). Patients from these two groups were similar with respect to age (P=0.273), comorbidity burden (P=0.534), psychiatric comorbidity (P=0.745), smoking status (P=0.151), BMI (P=0.629), and presence or suspicion of a genetic cancer syndrome (P=0.825).

**Discussion**

This study describes characteristics of the lengthiest outpatient breast surgery clinic appointments at an academic cancer center, and the patients attending these appointments. It reports that only a minority of these patients had appointments that were consistently protracted in duration. Moreover, among patients with the longest appointments, those with consistently protracted appointments were similar to those whose appointments were most often of shorter duration (sporadically protracted appointments) in terms of the patient-related characteristics investigated. The decision to limit the cohort of appointments investigated to those at the breast surgery clinic was based on the observation that the time stamps were less reliably recorded in the patient tracking system in other clinics.

New patient visits comprised the majority of the longest appointments. This association has previously been reported in the literature in other specialties (7). Shorter duration of preoperative and postoperative appointments among cancer patients has also been reported (8). This suggests that the initial assessment and formulation of a management plan contributes more to the longest appointment duration than interpretation and discussion of postoperative results, and communication of unexpected findings on surveillance, including cancer recurrence. This may relate to an emphasis...
on comprehensive explanation and answering of current and anticipated future questions at the initial appointment. Number of diagnostic tests has also been reported to be independent of visit duration (8).

This study reports that only a minority of patients with the longest appointments were obese, diagnosed with a pre-existing mental illness, current/former smokers, or known/suspected to have a familial cancer syndrome. The proportion of patients with each of these characteristics in the longest appointments cohort was similar to the reported prevalence of smoking history (50%) (9), pre-existing mental illness (25%) (10), obesity (11), and known or suspected familial/genetic cancer syndromes (20%) (12) among all breast cancer patients. Moreover, obese patients have previously been shown not to have statistically significantly longer appointments (13). Similar appointment duration across patient age groups has also been reported (14). This implies that none of these factors predict extremely long appointments. However, given that we did not compare these variables with their frequency in the larger cohort (i.e., the 99% with shorter visit length), these findings should be interpreted with caution.

Patients seen only once during the study period comprised 18.6% of the cohort. The majority of these single appointments presumably represent patients who chose to seek their treatment/follow up elsewhere (i.e., sought a second opinion). This rate is approximately equal to that reported in the general population in an Israeli cohort of patients (13), implying that these patients do not more frequently take an exceedingly long time during their appointment.

The data suggest that of those patients with the longest appointments in breast surgery clinic, only a minority consistently have appointments protracted in duration. For most, the exceedingly lengthy appointment is not the norm. This finding is somewhat surprising, and implies that patient and disease factors may not be the principal determinants of exceedingly long appointments in this population. Therefore, it may be clinic and provider factors that play a more significant role in breast surgery clinic, and this warrants further investigation.

There are several limitations to this study, including the retrospective design and the utilization of a time-stamp tracking system that depends on manual entry of data. However, a study from a different institution found that time stamp patient tracking systems were highly concordant with actual patient flow during a clinic appointment (within 3 minutes for >80% of appointments) (16). Another important limitation is the lack of patient- and appointment-specific data for the larger cohort of patients/appointments (i.e., the 99% with shorter appointment duration). This is a result of the databases interrogated and the information available for analysis, which required a primary chart review to extract this data, and was not feasible for the entire cohort of 14,028 appointments. Accordingly, this section of the results should be interpreted with caution, and the patient- and appointment-related variables reported should be viewed as a descriptive analysis of the lengthiest 1% of appointments, as opposed to concluding an association (or lack thereof) between any of these factors and extreme appointment duration. Lastly, the findings are derived from a cohort of patients seen in a breast surgery clinic at a dedicated cancer center, and caution should be exercised in generalizing them to other populations. The degree to which they are applicable to patients with similar disease processes seen in other institutions or other regions is uncertain. As this study reports on patient interactions with healthcare providers, which are highly influenced by cultural and sociodemographic variables, they may differ substantially in other countries, and further study of this subject using similar methods in other contexts is warranted.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, the majority of the lengthiest 1% of appointments in the ambulatory breast surgery clinic...
studied were for newly diagnosed breast malignancy. Only a minority (26%) of patients had consistently protracted appointments. This has implications for clinic scheduling, in that a patient's previously extremely lengthy appointment may not predict future long appointments. These results are limited in external validity/generalizability, as sociodemographic, clinicopathologic, healthcare system and cultural factors all contribute to the outcomes investigated, and should be investigated in other contexts before being broadly applied. Further investigation into the association of specific patient, provider, and clinic factors with appointment duration is needed, ideally using a prospective study design or techniques to account for the limitations inherent to retrospective study designs.
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