Proteomics-based analysis indicating \( \alpha \)-enolase as a potential biomarker in primary Sjögren’s syndrome
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**Background:** Primary Sjögren’s syndrome (pSS) is a chronic autoimmune disease. Its etiology is not well understood. Salivary glands are the main target organ in pSS, investigating the changes of salivary protein in pSS patients may not only be a valuable way of identifying new biomarkers/antigens for pSS, but also of revealing the pathogenesis underlying this autoimmune disease. In the present study, we aimed to investigate new biomarkers and explore their potential role in pSS.

**Methods:** In this study, \( \alpha \)-enolase (ENO1) was found to be overexpressed in pSS by 1D gel electrophoresis/mass spectrometry. The finding was verified by Western blots, immunohistochemistry (IHC), and polymerase chain reaction (PCR) results in both saliva and labial salivary glands. The expression level of immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibody to ENO1 was then tested by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

**Results:** ENO1 autoantibody was found to be overexpressed in pSS compared with healthy controls. The effects of ENO1 overexpression on rat submandibular gland cell line SMG-C6 was investigated in vitro. The expressions of proteins related to saliva secretion and immunomodulatory were upregulated in ENO1 overexpressed SMG-C6 cells.

**Conclusions:** Both ENO1 and anti-ENO1 autoantibody are overexpressed in pSS patients. Nevertheless, their potential role in the pathogenesis of pSS warrants further study.
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**Introduction**

Primary Sjögren’s syndrome (pSS) is a chronic autoimmune disease characterized by dry mouth/eye as a result of immune-mediated injury of exocrine glands. The onset of pSS is insidious, with a variable course and a diversity of clinical manifestations, making the diagnosis difficult or delayed. Research has shown that the diagnosis of pSS is usually delayed by nearly 6 years from onset (1,2). The etiology of pSS is far from clear. Several factors, such as injury, viruses, and/or self-antigen might initiate the progression of this autoimmune disease (3,4).

Several studies have demonstrated that antigenic changes are observed in the immune system of patients, including the appearance of circulating autoantibodies (5). To date, various serum antibodies, such as anti-Ro/SSA, anti-La/SSB, anti-muscarinic receptor antibodies, anti-nuclear antibodies, and rheumatoid factor, have been reported as characteristics of pSS (6), with some having a pathogenic...
role (e.g., anti-Ro/SSA and anti-muscarinic receptor antibodies) (7). Therefore, investigations of new pSS biomarkers/antigens could contribute to both the diagnosis and understanding of pathogenesis of this autoimmune disorder.

Saliva is a mixed fluid secreted by 3 pairs of major salivary glands. Previous research has demonstrated that saliva contains many informative molecules that can reflect the entire spectrum of health and disease states of an individual (8). As salivary glands are the main target organ in pSS, investigating the changes of salivary protein in pSS patients may not only be a valuable way of identifying new biomarkers/antigens for pSS, but also of revealing the pathogenesis underlying this autoimmune disease.

In the present study, we screened a series of overexpressed proteins, including $\alpha$-enolase (ENO1) in the saliva of pSS patients, using 1D gel electrophoresis/mass spectrometry. The expression of ENO1 was verified in saliva and labial glands, and the levels of serum antibody to ENO1 were measured. To investigate the potential pathogenetic role of ENO1, ENO1 plasmid was transfected into rat submandibular gland cell line SMG-C6. Protein expression was detected by mass spectrometry in the ENO1 overexpressed SMG-C6 cell. Our results indicated that ENO1 and its antibody may serve as biomarkers for pSS, and the overexpression may be related to salivary gland hypofunction and immune inflammatory response.

We present the following article in accordance with the MDAR checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/gs-20-814).

Methods

Patients and specimen collection

The present study was approved by the Biomedical Ethics Committee of Peking University School of Stomatology (Beijing, China). All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). All participants provided signed informed consent. Patients with a diagnosis of pSS, according to the 2002 American-European Consensus Group (AECG) criteria (6), were enrolled from the outpatient clinics of the Department of Oral Medicine, Peking University Hospital of Stomatology (Beijing, China). All patients were newly diagnosed and had not undergone treatment. Saliva samples were collected and disposed of, as previously described (9), from 10 pSS patients and 10 healthy controls for mass spectrometry analysis and Western blot validation of ENO1 expression. Serum samples were collected from 30 pSS patients and 30 healthy controls, and separated by centrifugation at 1,006 xg for 10 min and stored at $-80^\circ$C for anti-ENO1 antibody detection. Labial glands were obtained by labial biopsy from 5 pSS patients and 4 healthy controls (otherwise healthy patients with patients with mucous cysts). Control labial glands were histologically normal, with no lymphocyte infiltration foci. Labial glands were stored at $-80^\circ$C for polymerase chain reaction (PCR) sequencing, and fixed using 10% formaldehyde solution, and embedded in paraffin for immunohistochemistry (IHC).

Protein separation by 1D sodium dodecylsulfate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) and proteomics analysis

Saliva samples from 10 pSS patients and 10 controls were separately pooled into 2 groups. Approximately 30 μg proteins from each pooled samples were separated by 1D SDS-PAGE. The gel bands were excised from the gel and reduced with 25 mM of dithiothreitol and alkylated with 55 mM iodoacetamide. Sequencing grade modified trypsin in 50 mM ammonium bicarbonate was used for in-gel digestion at 37 $^\circ$C overnight. The peptides were then extracted twice with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid in 50% acetonitrile aqueous solution for 30 min. Extracts were then centrifuged in a SpeedVac (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) to reduce the volume.

The digestion products were separated by a 65-min gradient elution with a flow rate of 0.250 mL/min for mass spectrometry analysis. The liquid chromatography with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) analysis was then carried out with an EASY-nLCITM integrated nano-HPLC system and a directly interfaced Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap mass spectrometer (Waltham, MA, USA). The analytical column was a fused silica capillary column (75 mm ID, 150 mm length; Upchurch, Oak Harbor, WA, USA) filled with C-18 resin (300 Å, 5 mm; Varian, Lexington, MA, USA). Mobile phase A consisted of 0.1% formic acid, and mobile phase B consisted of 100% acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid. The mass spectrometry analysis and database search were performed as previously described (10).

Western blot

Saliva samples from 10 pSS patients and 10 healthy
controls were centrifuged at 14,000 ×g for 10 min at 4 °C for supernatant collection. Protein concentrations were determined using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein assay kit. Protein samples were separated with a 12% SDS-PAGE gel and transferred onto a polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) transfer membrane by electroblocting. After blocking with 5% non-fat milk in Tris-buffered saline Tween 20, the membrane was incubated with primary antibody at 4 °C overnight and horseradish peroxidase-labeled secondary antibody at room temperature for 2 h. The membrane was further washed with PBST buffer 3 times and developed using the Enlight Kit (Engreen, Beijing, China). Glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was detected as an internal control.

Quantitative real-time PCR
Labial glands were collected from pSS patients and otherwise healthy patients with mucous cysts as healthy controls. Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol reagent (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA). cDNA was synthesized from 0.8 μg RNA using the GoScript Reverse Transcription System (Promega, Beijing, China). Quantitative PCR was performed using the AB17500 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) PCR system with UltraSYBR Mixture (category no. CW0936; CoWin BioSciences, Cambridge, MA, USA). The primer was designed and synthesized by CoWin BioSciences. The forward and reverse primers for ENO1 were 5'-CAGAGTTTCGCCCGCACCAC-3' and 5'-GGGAGAGCCGTCACTCATTC-3', respectively. The length of the PCR products was 159 bp, with GAPDH used as an internal control. Amplification was performed at 95 °C for 10 min (95 °C for 15 s + 60 °C for 60 s) for 40 cycles. The Comparative CT Method (2−ΔΔCT) method was used for relative quantitative data analysis.

IHC
Labial gland specimens from pSS patients and healthy controls were embedded in paraffin and sectioned at 4 μm. After deparaffinization and rehydration using descending ethanol dilutions, antigen retrieval was performed with boiling citrate buffer (pH 6.0) in a microwave. Endogenous peroxidases were then quenched with 3% H2O2 for 15 min. The sections were then incubated with anti-ENO1 Ab (1:100; Abclonal, Wuhan, China) at 4 °C overnight and stained using a tissue staining kit (Zhongshan Biotechnology, Beijing, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol. The stained sections were mounted and examined by microscopy. Representative sections were photographed.

Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)
Serum samples were collected from 26 pSS patients and 25 healthy controls. The level of serum immunoglobulin G (IgG) anti-ENO1 autoantibody was detected by an ELISA kit (Jianglai Biology, Shanghai, China) according to the manufacturer's protocol.

Cell culture and ENO1 overexpression
The rat submandibular gland cell line SMG-C6 (kindly gifted by Prof Liling Wu) was cultured as previously described (11). ENO1 plasmid (kindly gifted by Prof Feng Chen) and control plasmid transfection was performed with MegeTrans 1.0 (Origene, Rockville, MD, USA), and the ratio of transfection reagent to DNA was 3:1, as per the manufacturer's instructions. At 24-h post-transfection, cells were collected and treated with azacytidine dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide. After treatment, cells were lysed with RIPA lysis buffer for 30 min on ice. The lysates were then centrifuged at 14,000 ×g for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was collected and the protein concentration was determined using a BCA protein assay kit. Proteomic analysis of the 2 cell groups was the same as previously described.

Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 22.0 (SPSS, Chicago, IL, USA). Continuous data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation, and analyzed using Mann-Whitney U-test. Results were considered significant when P<0.05.

Results
Proteomic analysis of proteins in the saliva samples
Mass spectrometry analysis of saliva samples identified 21 significantly differently expressed proteins (≥2- or ≤0.5-fold change) between pSS patients and healthy controls, of which 17 proteins was overexpressed and 4 were underexpressed in pSS patients (Table 1). The expression of ENO1 in pSS saliva was 4.2 times greater than that of the
Table 1 Salivary proteins differentially expressed in pSS patients compared with healthy controls (≥2- or ≤0.5-fold change)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IPI no.</th>
<th>Proteins</th>
<th>Fold change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IPI00465248.5</td>
<td>Alpha-enolase</td>
<td>4.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI01014238.1</td>
<td>cDNA FLJ53963, highly similar to leukocyte elastase inhibitor</td>
<td>Absent in controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0002851.1</td>
<td>Cystatin-D</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0296654.2</td>
<td>Bactericidal/permeability-increasing protein-like 1</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0032293.1</td>
<td>Cystatin-C</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0304557.2</td>
<td>Short palate, lung, and nasal epithelium carcinoma-associated protein 2</td>
<td>4.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0398625.5</td>
<td>Hornerin</td>
<td>Absent in pSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0552768.1</td>
<td>Thioredoxin, isoform CRA_b</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0216691.5</td>
<td>Profilin-1</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0382440.1</td>
<td>Ig λ chain V-IV region Hil</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0387120.1</td>
<td>Ig κ chain V-IV region Len</td>
<td>4.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0979730.1</td>
<td>Similar to Ig κ chain V-II region GM607 precursor</td>
<td>Absent in controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI00654755.3</td>
<td>Hemoglobin subunit β</td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0385985.1</td>
<td>Ig λ chain V-III region LOI</td>
<td>3.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0022974.1</td>
<td>Prolactin-inducible protein</td>
<td>0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0021828.1</td>
<td>Cystatin-B</td>
<td>2.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0382420.1</td>
<td>Ig λ chain V-I region HA</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0876888.1</td>
<td>cDNA FLJ78387</td>
<td>2.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0299547.4</td>
<td>Isoform 1 of neutrophil gelatinase-associated lipocalin</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0410714.5</td>
<td>Hemoglobin subunit α</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI0382422.1</td>
<td>Ig λ chain V-I region NEWM</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IPI, international protein index. pSS, primary Sjögren’s syndrome; Ig, immunoglobulin.

Verification of ENO1 expression in saliva and labial glands

ENO1 expression in the saliva samples of the 8 pSS patients and 8 healthy controls was verified by Western blot. As shown in Figure 1, ENO1 was overexpressed in pSS patients compared with healthy controls. We then investigated ENO1 mRNA expression in the labial glands of pSS patients (n=5) and healthy controls (n=4). Reverse transcription-PCR showed that ENO1 mRNA was significantly overexpressed in pSS labial glands compared with those of healthy controls (P<0.01) (Figure 2). IHC staining of labial glands showed that ENO1 proteins were

Figure 1 Western blot analysis of salivary ENO1 in saliva from pSS patients (n=8) and healthy controls (n=8). Results indicate that the salivary ENO1 level was much higher in pSS patients compared with healthy controls. ENO1, α-enolase-1; pSS, primary Sjögren’s syndrome; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde 3-phosphate dehydrogenase.
highly expressed in the acinar and duct epithelial cells in pSS patients compared with those of healthy controls. ENO1 was not expressed in infiltrated lymphocytes (Figure 3).

**Investigation of ENO1 autoantibody in pSS serum**

We then detected the IgG ENO1 autoantibodies in serum samples of pSS patients (n=26) and healthy controls (n=20) using ELISA. As shown in Figure 4A, levels of serum anti-ENO1 autoantibody were significantly higher in pSS patients (19.88±13.77 ng/mL) compared with healthy controls (8.7±4.4 ng/mL) (P<0.01). As shown in Figure 4B, the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to evaluate the performance of anti-ENO1 in distinguishing pSS from healthy controls. The area under the curve (AUC) values of anti-ENO1 was 0.811.
Furthermore, linear regression analysis was performed to evaluate the association between anti-ENO1 and salivary flow rates. The results showed that the expression level of anti-ENO1 was moderately associated with salivary flow rate by linear regression (n=26, \( R^2 = 0.5056 \)) (Figure 4C).

**Effect of ENO1 overexpression on SMG-C6 cells**

After 24-h transfection, the expressions of total proteins were analyzed by mass spectrometry. The results showed that the expression level of ENO1 in SMG-C6 cells transfected with the ENO1 recombinant plasmid was significantly higher (>1.5-fold) than that of the control group. The results also revealed 199 differentially expressed proteins between the 2 groups, of which 188 proteins were overexpressed in ENO1 transfected SMG-C6 cells (>1.5-fold) and 11 proteins were overexpressed in the control group (>1.5-fold). PANTHER analysis demonstrated that these differentially expressed proteins participate in diverse biologic processes, including apoptosis, immune system processes, and biologic adhesion (Figure 5).

**Discussion**

Primary Sjögren’s syndrome (pSS) is identified as a chronic autoimmune disease characterized by exocrine dysfunction and sicca syndrome. Most recently, it has been designated as an autoimmune epithelitis (12). The pathogenesis of pSS is so complex that, despite previously published studies investigating its associated factors, its etiology is still
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poorly understood. Conventionally, risk genes, innate and adaptive immune responses, viral infections, and hormonal factors have been implicated in causation of pSS. In recent years, studies on both immune and non-immune roles of salivary gland epithelial cells (SGEC) have added to the understanding of the disease mechanisms (13,14). Because saliva is secreted directly by salivary glands, it may directly reflect the biologic status of SGEC. In the present study, to identify specific pSS biomarkers, we performed a proteomic analysis on saliva samples from both pSS patients and healthy controls.

In recent years, scientific efforts have been directed toward evaluate salivary proteome to diagnose or monitor pSS (15). Most of these studies emphasize salivary diagnostics. However, the proteolysis, degradation, as well as dephosphorylation of salivary proteins, result in inconsistency of the results among studies. In our study, the mass spectrometry analysis of unstimulated whole saliva revealed 21 differentially expressed proteins between pSS patients and healthy controls, of which the expression level of ENO1 in pSS was 4.21 times greater than that of healthy controls. This result was consistent with previous research (16-18). We then verified this result in saliva samples from additional 8 pSS patients and 8 healthy controls using Western blot. Furthermore, we revealed that ENO1 mRNA was overexpressed in pSS labial glands compared with that of healthy controls, and overexpressed ENO1 was mainly located in the acinar and duct epithelial cells. Hjelmervik et al. also reported that ENO1 is overexpressed in minor salivary glands in pSS patients using the proteomic method (19). However, to the best of our knowledge, our study is the first to explore the ENO1 mRNA expression level and distribution of ENO1 in pSS minor salivary glands.

It has been widely accepted that ENO1 is a highly conserved glycolytic enzyme that plays an important role in cellular energy metabolism (20). However, recent studies have indicated that ENO1 plays a crucial role in a variety of biologic and pathophysiological processes related to both cancer and autoimmune diseases. The aberrant expression of ENO1 has been reported to be associated with multiple tumor progression and is correlated with poor prognosis (21-24). There have been several reports on autoimmune diseases that have indicated that the overexpression of ENO1 could induce and promote inflammation reactions. Bae et al. reported that ENO1 expressed on the surface of monocytes and macrophages could induce robust synovial inflammation in rheumatoid arthritis (25). Increased cell-surface expression of ENO1 also could promote the migration of inflammatory cells into inflammatory sites (26).

Kinloch et al. immunized DR4-IE-transgenic mice with Porphyromonas gingivalis ENO1, and found it could induce autoimmunity to mammalian ENO1 and arthritis (27). Bruschi et al. identified ENO1 as a glomerular target antigen, and found that the interaction with serum ENO1 autoantibodies could induce immunological inflammatory reactions (28). In addition, it has been demonstrated that ENO1 is the most abundant glycolytic enzyme in the apoptotic cell membrane, which could promote autoimmune modulation and induce autoantibodies (29). To investigate the effect of ENO1 overexpression on salivary epithelial cells in pSS, we performed the in vitro SMG-C6 experiment. The results showed that the overexpression of ENO1 could upregulate the expression of several proteins, including signal transducer and activator of transcription 3 (STAT3), which is involved in apoptosis and the immune regulation process, and Zonula occludens-1/2 (ZO-1/2), which is involved in the saliva secretion process. Previously published studies have demonstrated that the disruption of the STAT3 signaling pathway in epithelial cells could induce SS-like autoimmune disease (30). The effect of ENO1 overexpression on immunoreactions and salivary secretion warrants further study.

Previously published studies have discovered ENO1 autoantibody expression in both organ-specific and non-organ-specific autoimmune diseases (31), including rheumatoid arthritis (6–66%), systemic lupus erythematous (19–80%), and systemic sclerosis (15–30%). ENO1 is widely expressed in prokaryotic and eukaryotic organisms, such as Helicobacter pylori and Porphyromonas gingivalis (27,32). As ENO1 is highly conserved in organisms from bacteria to human, infections of these bacteria could induce a cross-autoimmune response against human ENO1, and lead to the production of ENO1 autoantibody. In addition, the polypeptides of ENO1 broken down by caspase-1 in autoimmune response, as well as ENO1 expressed in the apoptotic cell membrane, could induce the expression of ENO1 autoantibody. In the present study, we detected the ENO1 autoantibody in serum samples of pSS patients (19.88±13.77 ng/mL), and found that it was significantly higher than that of healthy controls (8.7±4.4 ng/mL), P<0.01. The ROC curve analysis of ENO1 autoantibody in distinguishing pSS from healthy controls showed that the AUC value was 0.811. These results were consistent with those of Cui et al. (33). In their study, they identified 3 novel salivary antibodies, including ENO1 autoantibody. They
found that the expression level of ENO1 autoantibody in pSS saliva was significantly higher than that of healthy controls. The AUC value was 0.82 for distinguishing between pSS and healthy controls. Furthermore, IgG antibody level against citrullinated ENO1 was found to be higher in pSS patients than in rheumatoid arthritis patients and healthy controls (P<0.003 and P<0.0001, respectively). We then analyzed the correlation between ENO1 autoantibody and salivary flow rate, and found only a moderate correlation (R²=0.5056). It was also reported that there was no correlation between ENO1 autoantibody and clinical manifestations and other laboratory parameters in previous studies (34,35). However, ENO1 autoantibody level has been reported to be related to bone erosion and rheumatoid arthritis-associated interstitial lung disease (36), and with Hashimoto's encephalopathy and glomerulonephritis in autoimmune thyroiditis (37,38). Compared with target organ-specific antigens, ENO1 is expressed more widely in certainly tissues, such as vascular endothelium and neurons. The autoimmune response to ENO1 is more strongly associated with lesions outside the target organ. Future studies with more pSS patients with extraglandular involvement are warranted to evaluate the correlation between ENO1 autoantibody and clinical manifestations.

The findings of the present study indicated that ENO1 was overexpressed both in the saliva and labial glands of pSS patients, and induced the production of IgG ENO1 autoantibody. The expression level of serum ENO1Ab could distinguish between pSS and healthy controls, and was moderately correlated with the salivary flow rate. The overexpression of ENO1 could upregulate a number of proteins involved in apoptosis and the immune regulation process. However, the precise role of ENO1 in the pathogenesis of pSS warrants further study.
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