Introduction

Neuroendocrine tumors (NETs) are a diverse group of neoplasms that can arise from a variety of different organs. Commonly found in the gastrointestinal (GI) tract and lung, they also arise in the pancreas. Historically, NETs of the GI tract and pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors (pNETs) were placed in the same category, however, pNETs exhibit important differences and are best considered separately. Poorly understood for many years, there have been a number of recent advances in our understanding of these tumors. This review will summarize the epidemiology, pathology, diagnosis, and management of this disease, including how recent discoveries in the molecular changes these tumors manifest is changing therapy.

Epidemiology

Originally called islet cell tumors, pNETs were re-designated by the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2010. These tumors are rare, with an incidence of 0.43 per 100,000 according to the National Cancer Institute Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results (SEER) registry; however, this rate has more than doubled in the last 20-30 years (1,2). This increase is due, at least in part, to increased physician awareness, improvements in diagnostic imaging, and the overall increased use of CT scans. However, autopsy studies have found the prevalence of pNETs ranges from 0.8% to 10% (3-5), suggesting that the vast majority of them are clinically silent. There is a slight male predominance (55% male vs. 45% female). Most patients present in their 50s, although patients with functional tumors present earlier than patients with non-functional tumors (mean age of presentation 55 vs. 59 years) (6). The vast majority of these patients are Caucasian (84% vs. 16%) (3). Overall, pNETs comprise 1-2% of all pancreatic tumors and 7% of NETs in general, second only to gastrointestinal carcinoid (7-10).

Syndromic pNETs

The majority of pNETs arise sporadically, but approximately 10% are associated with an underlying genetic syndrome such as multiple endocrine neoplasia type I (MEN1) and type IV (MEN4), von Hippel-Lindau disease (VHL),
neurofibromatosis type I (NF1), or tuberous sclerosis complex (TSC) (11). pNETs are most prevalent in MEN1 with nearly all individuals having multiple non-functional adenomas on autopsy, predominantly microadenomas (<5 mm in diameter) (11,12). The prevalence of clinically significant pNETs increases with age with roughly 50% of patients being diagnosed by age 50, and most having multiple pNETs (12-15).

The most common type of pNET in MEN1 is a non-functional tumor, however, the majority of MEN1 patients will develop symptomatic lesions, with around 50% developing Zollinger-Ellison (ZE) syndrome from an underlying gastrinoma, roughly 20% developing symptoms of an insulinoma, and 3-5% developing VIPomas or glucagonomas (8,11-17). Overall, the result is that 25% of all gastrinomas, and 4% of all insulinomas are linked to MEN1 (8). Patients with MEN1 will also develop NETs in other organs; however, development of a pNET is a poor prognostic factor. pNETs are the most common underlying cause of MEN1 associated mortality, and these patients have a reduced life expectancy of 69 years compared to 77 years for MEN1 patients without a pNET (11,15,18).

Recently, MEN4, which is caused by a mutation in CDKN1B which codes for p27, was discovered in a subset of patients with MEN1-like syndromes without identifiable MEN1 mutations. These patients are prone to MEN1 associated tumors in addition to adrenal, renal, gonadal, and thyroid tumors. Given its recent discovery, the prevalence and natural history of pNETs in this genetic syndrome is unclear (19,20).

Pancreatic neoplasms in general are common in VHL with 35-77% of patients developing lesions. The majority of these are benign, with serous cystadenomas being the most common. Only 10-17% of patients with VHL will develop a true pNET, almost always non-functional (8,11). The incidence of pNET in NF1 is 0-10%, comprised of almost exclusively somatostatinomas. pNETs are also rare in TSC, with an incidence of <1%, usually associated with TSC type I (8,11).

**Pathogenesis**

The endocrine function of the pancreas is carried out by pockets of cells designated as the islets of Langerhans. These cells secrete many key hormones, including insulin, glucagon, somatostatin, vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP), and others. These are hormones commonly produced by functional pNETs. Originally, it was thought that pNETs arose from the islets of Langerhans, but more recent investigation has suggested they arise from pluripotent stem cells in the pancreatic ductal/acinar system (21). However, pNETs demonstrate important genetic differences from pancreatic adenocarcinomas. Recent pNET exome sequencing demonstrated that gene mutations typical for adenocarcinoma, such as KRAS, are absent in pNETs. Furthermore, pNETs appear to commonly involve distinct mutations from adenocarcinoma, particularly MEN1 in 44% of tumors, DAXX in 25% of tumors, ATRX in 18% of tumors, and mTOR pathway genes in 16% of tumors (22).

MEN1 codes for menin, which has an essential function in chromatin remodeling regulation, and its role in NET development has long been known due to its involvement in the MEN1 syndrome. The serine-threonine kinase mTOR is at the center of an oncogenic pathway involved in cell growth and proliferation (22). Interestingly DAXX and ATRX mutations have not been previously associated with cancer. DAXX and ATRX participate in chromatin remodelling at telomeres and other genomic sites, and appear to be associated with pNETs through development of a telomerase-independent telomere maintenance process termed alternative lengthening of telomeres (ALT) (23-26). The ALT phenotype is found in immortalized cell lines and has been implicated in some human cancers. In one series, 61% of pNETs had abnormal telomeres consistent with ALT and there was a perfect correlation between these tumors and DAXX/ATRX mutations or loss of nuclear expression of these genes (23). Loss of DAXX/ATRX and development of ALT appear to occur late in pNET development, being found in larger tumors (>2-3 cm) and metastatic lymph nodes (24). DAXX/ATRX mutations are also a poor prognostic sign and are associated with earlier recurrence and decreased disease specific survival (25).

Furthermore, the DAXX/ATRX pathway appears to be unique to pNETs among other gastrointestinal NETs. In one series of gastrointestinal carcinoid tumors, only 4% demonstrated the ALT phenotype, and the presence of ALT has been proposed as a method to predict the site of origin of NET liver metastases with unknown primary (26). These recent discoveries, particularly the mutations in the mTOR pathway genes, suggest exciting possibilities for targeted molecular therapy.

**Pathology/staging**

The history of classification and staging of pNETs is complex and has undergone a great number of changes.
in the last 10-15 years. Currently, the WHO, European Neuroendocrine Tumor Society (ENETS), and American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) have each proposed a formal staging system for pNETs (27-29). The 2010 WHO classification system is based on the proliferative activity of the tumor as measured by mitotic count and the expression of nuclear antigen Ki-67, a marker for cellular proliferation. Grade 1 tumors have fewer than 2 mitoses per 10 high power fields and less than or equal to 3% Ki-67 staining. Grade 2 tumors have 2-10 mitoses per 10 high power fields or 3-20% Ki-67 staining. Grade 3 tumors have greater than 20 mitoses per 10 high power fields or greater than 20% Ki-67 staining. Grade 1 and 2 lesions are well differentiated and classified as NETs (90% of tumors), while Grade 3 lesions are poorly differentiated and classified as neuroendocrine carcinomas (10% of tumors). The WHO classification system is summarized in Table 1 (27).

The ENETS staging system is based on TNM classification (Table 2) while the AJCC staging system is taken from the TNM staging system developed for pancreatic adenocarcinoma (Table 3) (28,29). Most head to head comparisons of the ENETS and the AJCC staging systems have shown no statistical difference in their ability to predict survival (30-32), however, one cohort study did find a slight advantage favoring the ENETS system (33). In the face of three different staging systems, work towards a single, comprehensive, accurately predictive model continues. Recently, one retrospective analysis suggested that combining the WHO grading system using Ki-67 expression rates with the lesser known Hochwald grading system, which divides tumors into two stages based on tumor necrosis and mitotic rates, is more predictive of survival than any of the current staging systems (32). Similarly, another series out of Johns Hopkins found that Ki-67 expression rates have a linear relationship with mortality, calling into question the validity of breaking Ki-67 rates into categories as the WHO system does. They proposed a nomogram based on age, gender, and Ki-67 labeling as a continuous variable that appears to be both simpler and more prognostic than either the ENETS or AJCC systems (30). The staging of pNETs likely will continue to evolve in coming years as our understanding increases.

**Presentation**

pNETs are divided into functional versus non-functional...
tumors with about 90% being classified as non-functional. Commonly, tumors are defined as non-functional if the patient does not suffer from symptoms due to hormone hyper-secretion, even if hormone levels are elevated on laboratory evaluation. Most non-functional pNETs present with symptoms due to mass effect, such as jaundice, weight loss, abdominal pain, palpable mass, nausea/emesis, pancreatitis, or back pain, and mimic the presentation of pancreatic adenocarcinoma. As routine diagnostic imaging becomes more prevalent, some patients present asymptotically with an incidental finding on cross sectional imaging. Most patients present with metastatic (60%) or locally advanced disease (21%) (3).

As mentioned before, pNETs are functional 10% of the time. The presenting symptoms of functional tumors depend on the particular hormone that is being overproduced. The most common functional pNETs are insulinomas, gastrinomas, VIPomas, glucagonomas, and somatostatinomas. Insulinomas comprise 35-40% of all functional pNETs, and present with symptoms of episodic hyperinsulinemia classically referred to as Whipple's triad: symptoms of hypoglycemia (weakness, sweating, tremors, palpitations, confusion, visual changes etc.) during fasting or exercise, documented hypoglycemia at time of symptoms, and symptom resolution with glucose administration (8,11). Gastrinomas make up 16-30% of functional pNETs and hyper-secrete gastrin resulting in Zollinger Ellison syndrome, the classic symptoms of which are refractory peptic ulcer disease and secretory diarrhea (8,11).

Glucagonomas or VIPomas each account for less than 10% of functional pNETs (8,11). The most common presenting symptom of glucagonomas is a dermatitis called migratory necrolytic erythema, consisting of erythematous lesions that become necrotic and develop pigmented scarring (34). Other common symptoms from glucagonomas include glucose intolerance, weight loss, diarrhea, and deep vein thrombosis (DVT). Together these symptoms are sometimes referred to as the 4D syndrome (dermatitis, diabetes, diarrhea, DVT) (8,11). VIPomas secrete vasoactive intestinal polypeptide and result in symptoms of large volume watery diarrhea and hypokalemia. Somatostatinomas comprise less than 5% of pNETs. They secrete somatostatin and can cause diabetes mellitus, gallbladder disease, diarrhea/steatorrhea, anemia, and weight loss. Somatostatinomas have the subtlest syndrome of any of the functional pNETs and rarely is the syndrome present in its entirety in a single patient (8,11,35). The various syndromes associated with functional pNETs are summarized in Table 4.

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Tumor</th>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Metastasis</th>
<th>Stage specific 5-year survival</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IA</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stage IA 96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IB</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stage IB 92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIA</td>
<td>T3</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stage IIA 76%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IIB</td>
<td>T1-3</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stage IIB 73%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stage III -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>Stage IV 56%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Survival data from Ellison TA, et al. (30). SMA, superior mesenteric artery; AJCC, American Joint Committee on Cancer; pNETs, pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. T1, <2 cm but limited to the pancreas; T2, >2 cm but limited to the pancreas; T3, tumor extends beyond the pancreas but not involving the celiac axis or SMA; T4, tumor involves celiac axis or SMA; N0, no regional lymph node metastases; N1, regional lymph node metastases; M0, no distant metastases; M1, distant metastases.

### Diagnosis/staging

#### History and physical

A detailed history and physical is essential in these patients. The history should focus on signs of mass effect or metastasis, evaluate for symptoms of an endocrine syndrome, and screen for family history suggestive of genetic syndromes associate with pNETs. Physical exam should look for jaundice, and abdominal masses.

#### Laboratory evaluation

If a functional tumor is suspected, workup should include biochemical assessment for the appropriate syndrome.
Seventy-two-hour fast is the gold standard for diagnosis of an insulinoma, with measurement of glucose and insulin levels at the time of symptoms. It is also important to measure C-peptide to rule out surreptitious insulin use. With gastrinoma, an elevated fasting serum gastrin level is usually the first test and a level greater than ten times the limits of normal is virtually diagnostic of this disease. Proton pump inhibitors elevate serum gastrin levels, and it is important to draw labs after holding these drugs for one week due to their long-acting nature. ZE syndrome is usually confirmed with a secretin stimulation test, but gastric acid secretion studies are sometimes required. Migratory necrotizing dermatitis, while highly suggestive of a glucagonoma, can also occur in celiac disease, cirrhosis, or pancreatitis, and the diagnosis must be confirmed by elevated glucagon levels. VIPoma and somatostatinoma are confirmed by elevated levels of VIP and somatostatin, respectively.

A variety of tumor markers have been proposed for functional and non-functional pNETs. The most common of these is chromogranin A (CgA), an acid soluble protein that is found in secretory granules of neuroendocrine cells, although others, such as neuron-specific enolase (NSE), pancreatic polypeptide, pancreastatin, and human choricron gonadotropin have been proposed. CgA is the most sensitive of these, with elevated levels present in 72-100% of patients. However, CgA levels are highly variable, limiting specificity to 50-80% (45-47). Furthermore, proton-pump inhibitor use, impaired renal function, liver disease, and inflammatory bowel disease can all cause an increase in CgA leading to false positive results. Higher CgA levels correlate with increased tumor burden and metastatic disease, and may be most useful in assessing response to therapy (45-47). The sensitivity of NSE as a tumor marker is low at 30-40%, but its specificity is almost 100% (48). Using a combination of CgA and NSE levels improves the sensitivity of using either alone (49).

**Imaging**

Localization and staging of the tumor is essential to appropriate therapy for pNET. A variety of imaging modalities exist to assist the clinician, including computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), somatostatin receptor scintigraphy (SRS), positron-emission tomography (PET), and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS). In the rare case that the tumor cannot be located with these modalities, angiography with selective arterial stimulation and venous sampling may be employed. If the tumor cannot be located prior to surgery, bimanual palpation with intra-operative ultrasound often discovers the lesion as a last resort. This is a situation seen most often with small insulinomas that are only a few millimeters in size.

**CT**

CT is the most common initial imaging study in the evaluation of patients with pNETs. Triple-phase contrast CT is the optimal study as pNETs are typically best visualized during the arterial phase. They usually appear as spherical, hyper-dense, and hyper-vascular mass that rarely obstruct the pancreatic duct. The reported sensitivity of CT ranges from 62-83% with a specificity of 83-100%, although it varies with the size of the lesion (50,51). Although most pNETs are solid lesions, about 10% will present as a cystic
lesions with smooth margins and peripheral enhancement on both arterial and portal phases. Overall, it is difficult to differentiate cystic pNETs from other cystic pancreatic lesions on cross-sectional imaging with a misdiagnosis rate of 43% in a recent series (52).

MR
pNETs are usually well visualized on MR. The MR signal is typically low in T1-weighted sequences, and high in T2-weighted sequences. Again, pNETs are best visualized during the arterial contrast phase. The sensitivity of MR ranges from 85-100% with a specificity of 75-100% (50,53). In one recent series of 55 patients, the sensitivity of MR was 95%, rivaling that of EUS (54). Not as commonly used as CT, MR is most often ordered when lesions are too small to be visualized on CT. In detecting and following liver metastases, MR has been suggested to be superior to CT (53,55,56).

SRS
SRS uses radiolabeled somatostatin analogs and relies on somatostatin receptors expressed by pNETs. This leads to an important caveat; that insulinomas, in which somatostatin receptors are present only at low levels or absent entirely, are not well visualized with this technique. However, for other functional pNETs and nonfunctional pNETs the ability of SRS to localize the tumor is good, with sensitivities ranging from 75-100% (53,57). SRS is often used when a functional pNET is suspected and conventional cross-sectional imaging fails to localize the tumor. It can be particularly helpful with glucagonoma as these have a greater propensity to present outside of the pancreas than other functional NETs (50). SRS has an advantage over other imaging modalities in evaluating patients for sufficient uptake for targeted radiation therapy using radiolabeled somatostatin analogs. SRS is also typically useful in evaluating the burden of metastatic disease.

PET
Standard PET imaging with 18F-Fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) does not visualize pNETs well, given that most pNETs are well differentiated with a low metabolic rate. However, it can detect poorly differentiated pNETs and FDG avidity correlates with early tumor progression and increased mortality (58,59). Alternatively, PET imaging has increasingly utilized 68Ga labeled somatostatin analogs with excellent results. PET imaging with this utilization has been shown to be superior to both SRS and conventional cross-sectional imaging (60-67). The results from fusion of PET with CT images are better than either modality individually with sensitivities of 94-100% (68). In one series, use of fused PET/CT images changed treatment decisions in 59.6% of patients compared to CT or MRI alone (63).

EUS
EUS has become an invaluable tool in the evaluation of pancreatic lesions. In addition to radiologic examination of the pancreas, EUS offers the additional benefit of obtaining biopsies for diagnosis. EUS has an 82% sensitivity and a 92% specificity in identifying pNETs, although EUS is more sensitive in the head of the pancreas than the tail and results are operator dependent (69,70). EUS is most useful in identifying small insulinomas, as these lesions infrequently express somatostatin receptors and are not well visualized on SRS or PET. EUS has the added benefit of being able to tattoo smaller lesions for easier intraoperative identification, facilitating laparoscopic resection (71).

Treatment
As mentioned before, accurate staging of pNETs is essential for determining appropriate therapy. Lesions should be surgically resected when possible, as this is the only potentially curative therapy. Most patients, however, present with metastatic disease. The goal of therapy in advanced disease is to palliate the symptoms of hormone excess in functional tumors and lengthen survival. This requires a multidisciplinary approach including cytoreductive surgery when appropriate, directed therapy for the treatment of liver metastases when possible, and systemic medical therapy.

Surgically resectable disease
Local disease is treated with surgical removal of the tumor. Possible operations include simple enucleation, distal pancreatectomy with splenectomy, spleen-preserving distal pancreatectomy, central pancreatectomy, pancreaticoduodenectomy, and total pancreatectomy. Historically, enucleation has been reserved for insulinomas and small, less than 2 cm, non-functional pNETs that are distant from the pancreatic duct. However, the use of enucleation in non-insulinoma pNETs has been called into question. There are few studies comparing enucleation to formal pancreatic resections head to head. Those that exist generally find an advantage in operative time, blood loss, post-operative endocrine/exocrine pancreatic function, and
hospital/ICU stay in the enucleation group. These studies also find equivalent 5- and 10-year survival rates between the two groups (72-75). The data regarding morbidity are conflicting. The most common complication following enucleation is development of a pancreatic fistula (PF). Some studies find no difference in PF rates (72,74-76), while others report a higher incidence of PF in the enucleation group, although the severity of PF tends to be less than in the group undergoing formal resection (73,77). One of the prime arguments against enucleation lies in difficulty with fully evaluating the regional lymph nodes, particularly if curative resection is the goal. Therefore, enucleation should be limited to patients with insulinomas which tend to be on the benign end of the pNET spectrum.

Small pNETs that lie in the pancreatic neck or body and are close to the pancreatic duct may be amenable to a central pancreatectomy. This procedure has the advantage of better pancreatic function, retained gastrointestinal continuity, and preservation of the spleen. Studies comparing central pancreatectomy to standard distal pancreatectomy or pancreaticoduodenectomy in low-grade malignant tumors demonstrate benefits similar to enucleation, including improved blood loss, operative time, and pancreatic exocrine/endocrine function favoring the central pancreatectomy group (78-81). Mortality is similar between the groups. Central pancreatectomy does have a higher rate of PF formation, although these tend to be less severe than those in the standard resection group (78-81). This procedure shares the oncologic concerns surrounding enucleation, including inadequate lymph node sampling, and is only appropriate for select patients who may have been enucleated if not for the location of the neoplasm being deep in the pancreas parenchyma.

Complete oncologic resections for pNET include distal pancreatectomy with or without splenectomy, and pancreaticoduodenectomy. Distal pancreatectomy is indicated for lesions in the body or tail of the pancreas. Spleen-preserving distal pancreatectomy is generally reserved for insulinomas, as these are generally more benign, although there is no clear consensus regarding when preservation of the spleen may be appropriate (82,83). In general, splenectomy is included in distal pancreatic resections of non-insulinoma pNETs to ensure adequate lymph node harvest. Pancreatectoduodenectomy is the standard of care for pNETs found in the head of the pancreas. Rarely, patients with lesions throughout the pancreas may benefit from a total pancreatectomy. Historically, this procedure has been discouraged due to associated morbidity; however, advances in post-operative management have made this procedure a viable option (84-86).

**Laparoscopic pancreatic resections**

Traditionally, pancreatic resections have been performed as open procedures; however, laparoscopic approaches to each of the aforementioned procedures have been described and are gaining traction. Laparoscopic enucleation of pNETs has only been reported in small series. However, it is reported that operative times and blood loss have been improved in the laparoscopic group compared to open while the rate of PF is similar (87,88). Laparoscopic central pancreatectomy is the least developed of the laparoscopic approaches, but preliminary results indicate its feasibility (89,90). The most widely studied laparoscopic pancreatic resection is distal pancreatectomy, where laparoscopy has clearly been shown to be superior to an open approach in appropriate patients. A large meta-analysis of 18 studies comparing laparoscopic and open distal pancreatectomies found that the laparoscopic group had less blood loss, shorter hospital stays, fewer overall complications, and fewer surgical site infections. There was no difference in operative time, margin positivity, rate of PF, or overall mortality between the two groups (91).

Laparoscopic pancreaticoduodenectomy is still in its infancy but is increasingly being performed at major pancreatic centers. A report of a single center experience comparing laparoscopic and open pancreaticoduodenectomy found improvements in blood loss, decreased use of blood transfusions, and shorter hospital stays favoring the laparoscopic group. Operative time was significantly longer in the laparoscopic group but there was no difference in the rate of overall complications, PF, or delayed gastric emptying between the two groups. From an oncologic standpoint, there was no difference in margin positivity, tumor size, or TNM staging; in fact there was an improvement in the number of lymph nodes harvested favoring the laparoscopic group (92). An investigation of cost analysis out of the same institution found that, although operating room costs were higher in the laparoscopic group, these costs were recouped in lower subsequent treatment costs and overall cost of care was similar between open and laparoscopic groups (93). It is important to note, however, that laparoscopic approaches to pancreatic resections of all types are still relatively novel and long-term survival and outcomes have yet to be determined. Additionally, laparoscopic approaches to some small tumors, where direct palpation of the lesion is not possible, would be impractical without the contribution of endoscopic tattooing.
Use of EUS tattooing has been shown to facilitate tumor identification and reduce operative times during laparoscopic distal pancreatectomy (94). Currently, at our institution, we routinely offer a laparoscopic resection for patients with pNETs regardless of the type of operation required.

The role of surgery in MEN1
In the setting of MEN1, the overall strategy of surgical therapy differs from that of sporadic tumors. Patients with MEN1 tend to present with pNETs at an earlier age and present with multiple tumors (up to 80% of patients) (95). Often these patients will present with multiple small tumors (<0.5 cm), and ultrasound (EUS vs. intra-operative) can be important during workup and treatment. Patients with functional non-gastrinoma pNETs, particularly insulinomas, usually undergo resection due to symptoms of hormone excess. However, surgical resection of small gastrinomas and non-functional pNETs in MEN1 is controversial. Large surgical series with randomized data of these patients are lacking and there are no widely accepted treatment guidelines (95-97). Size criteria for surgical resection range from 1-3 cm, with some arguing for resection of any known tumor (15).

There are several arguments in favor of observation of small gastrinomas and non-functional pNETs. First, pancreatic resection has significant morbidity and mortality. Second, that given the underlying biology of the patient, resection is rarely curative and most patients require re-operation. Third, that although metastatic pNETs are the leading cause of MEN1 related death, this accounts for only about 15% of mortality in MEN1 patients. Lastly, that the survival of these patients, even with metastatic disease, is generally excellent (roughly 50% 15-year survival rate for metastatic gastrinoma) (15,95,98,99). In a prospective series of 81 patients with MEN1 and gastrinoma, patients with small tumors <2.5 cm in size who did not undergo surgical resection had equivalent 15-year survival to MEN1 patients without a pNET (90-100%). Furthermore, patients with tumors between 2.5 and 6 cm who underwent resection had equivalent 15-year survival to patients with small/no tumors, suggesting that salvage surgery for enlarging tumors is possible with little increased risk (99). A retrospective series of 65 patients with MEN1 and non-functioning pNETs <2 cm in size showed no difference in survival between patients who underwent surgical removal of the tumor versus no surgery. Additionally, there was no difference in life-expectancy between patients with <2 cm tumors and those with no pNETs (95). Another retrospective series of 108 patients with MEN1 and non-functional pNETs showed equivalent survival between patients who underwent curative surgery (mean tumor size 3 cm) versus no surgery (mean tumor size 1.6 cm). In this series, patients who developed metastatic disease had poor long term survival of 34% at 8 years (15).

Those in favor of aggressive resection of even small pNETs in MEN1 argue that developing liver metastases significantly shortens life expectancy and remains one of the worst prognostic signs in these patients. They argue that up to 33% of patients with tumors <1 cm already have metastatic disease, and that early resection is the best chance to prevent development of metastases (100). This controversy likely stems from the fact that, while many pNETs in MEN1 remain stable for years, some (about 20-30%) will have aggressive disease (101). Without reliable criteria to prospectively identify aggressive disease, consensus on the indications for surgery in MEN1 patients is unlikely.

The extent of pancreatectomy can also be somewhat controversial. Given the multifocal nature of tumor development in these patients, total pancreatectomy is often necessary to remove all disease, although this is rarely performed. The most common operation is a distal pancreatectomy with enucleation of any tumors in the head of the pancreas. Given the high chance of metastasis to the lymph nodes, the spleen is generally not preserved to ensure an adequate lymph node harvest. Gastrinomas also often occur in the duodenum, and can be very small. Intraoperative endoscopic transillumination, in addition to intraoperative ultrasound and palpation, can aid in the location of these tumors. Some may be removed by duodenotomy and some by pancreas-preserving duodenectomy. Others will require a formal pancreaticoduodenectomy (15,96-98).

Surgical treatment of advanced disease
Cytoreductive surgery
The role of cytoreductive surgery in metastatic pNET is unclear; however most consensus guidelines agree that aggressive resection of the primary tumor, regional lymph nodes, and liver/distant metastases should be pursued if greater than 90% of the tumor burden can be resected (102-106). The rationale behind this approach is that most pNETs have a relatively indolent course compared to other pancreatic neoplasms, and that tumor debulking, while not curative, provides the theoretical advantages of symptom control in functional tumors and prolonged survival in both
functional and non-functional pNETs. While the available evidence is not conclusive, it strongly suggests that these premises are correct. A retrospective review of 170 NETs who underwent palliative debulking found that 96% of those with symptoms had resolution post-operatively, and reported a 5- and 10-year survival rate 61% and 35% (107). This is a great improvement over a 5-year survival rate of 30-40% for patients with untreated liver metastases (108,109).

Most recently, a retrospective review of metastatic non-functional pNETs compared patients who underwent R0 versus R1 (>90% removal of tumor burden) resections. Not only did it demonstrate a survival benefit to R1 resections with a 59.9% and 45.5% 5- and 10-year survival rate, but it also found no significant difference in survival rates between the two groups. Of note, patients with R1 progressions did demonstrate a slightly greater propensity towards disease progression with a 3.5% progression free survival rate at five years versus a 10% recurrence free survival rate at five years in the R0 group (102). If greater than 90% of the tumor burden cannot be resected, palliative surgery is not indicated because there is no difference in survival between patients that receive sub-optimal debulking compared to patients that do not undergo surgery (110-112). Furthermore, in patients with unresectable liver metastases, removal of the primary tumor did not improve survival compared to no surgical intervention (113). Unfortunately, only 5-15% of patients with metastases present with disease appropriate for debulking according to these criteria (103,112).

Treatment of liver metastases
Several treatment options exist to address liver metastases. Partial hepatectomy is possible in many patients while preserving adequate liver function. Locally ablative therapies, such as radiofrequency ablation (RFA), microwave ablation, cryotherapy, or ethanol injection are also options in metastases not amenable to resection. Other possibilities, including transcatheter embolization/transcatheter chemoembolization (TAE/TACE) or radioembolization, are increasingly being utilized. Finally, in select patients with widespread hepatic metastases, liver transplant may be considered.

Partial hepatectomy
In patients with a primary pNET and synchronous hepatic metastases, hepatectomy can be accomplished in a combined procedure with acceptable morbidity and mortality (102,114). If staged procedures are required, liver resection should be performed first, followed by pancreatic resection, due to the risk of seeding the biliary tract during a prior biliary-enteric diversion. This is particularly true following pancreaticoduodenectomy. In a dual-center series of staged pancreatic/hepatic resections, patients that underwent pancreaticoduodenectomy prior to resection of their liver metastases had a higher risk of forming a hepatic abscess compared to patients who underwent liver resection first (115).

Local ablation
Local ablative therapies include RFA, cryotherapy, microwave coagulation, and ethanol injection, although RFA is the most popular and widely studied. These therapies can be performed percutaneously or during surgery via laparotomy or laparoscopy, and have been shown to complement resection of the primary tumor and amenable liver metastases; making palliative surgery possible for patients that would otherwise not meet criteria (116). Morbidity is low from this procedure with complication rates of 5-15%, usually hematomas or abscesses. Successful local control of liver tumors occurs 85-95% of the time, symptom improvement from hormone excess occurs roughly 90% of the time, and patients can remain without progression of disease for years (117-119). In the largest case series a 5-year survival of 48% was reported which is comparable to surgical metastectomy (117). One advantage of this procedure is that it can be applied multiple times as new metastases emerge.

TAE/TACE
TAE can be employed as palliative therapy in patients with liver metastases not amenable to surgical resection or ablation. It relies on the principle that metastatic tumor cells derive the majority of their oxygen supply from the hepatic artery as opposed to hepatocytes, which receive oxygen primarily from the portal vein. Performed via angiography, embolization may be performed alone (bland embolization) or in combination with chemotherapeutic agents (chemoembolization) (8,40). Multiple chemotherapeutic agents have been tried, including 5-fluorouracil (5-FU), cisplatin, streptozosyn, and, more recently, anthracyclines, such as doxorubicin or epirubicin (8,40,120). No comparison between the various agents exists, and choice of agent is largely empiric. Tumor response rates, derived mainly from retrospective reviews, range from 35-80% in pNETs (120-125). These series also report a symptomatic response of 70-100%, median progression free survival of 10-30 months, and overall survival of 20-36 months. There appears to be no difference in efficacy between TAE and TACE, although there was a trend towards improved response and survival in one series favoring the TACE arm that did not reach clinical significance (124). Another, more recent, series showed equivalent survival
and response rates, but significantly increased development of post-embolization syndrome in the TACE group (120). TAE and TACE have never been compared in a randomized trial. Absolute contraindications to the procedure include portal vein thrombosis, ascites, or liver failure. Involvement of more than 50% of the liver by metastases is a relative contraindication given the concern for inducing acute liver failure (8,40,112).

**Radioembolization**

Radioembolization (RE) is the selective distribution of radioactive yttrium-90 microspheres into the peri-tumoral vasculature via branches of the hepatic artery. Yttrium-90 is a high-energy beta-emitter that has a mean tissue penetrance of 2.5 mm (126,127). Unlike TAE/TACE, vessel occlusion and tissue ischemia are not the goal as radiotherapy has its optimal effect under normal oxygen tensions (128). Because of this, RE is more relatively sparing of normal hepatic tissue than TAE/TACE and can be used on patients with relatively more involvement of the liver by metastases. RE has been better studied in other cancer metastases, with only a few series investigating its use in NETs (129-132). The largest of these is a retrospective review of 148 patients undergoing 185 RE procedures. The study assessed tumor response with imaging and reported that tumor response was stable in 27% of patients, partial in 60.5%, complete in 2.7%, and progression of disease in 4.9%. Median survival was 70 months (132). While most series report a low rate of serious complications with this procedure, serious complications can include symptoms of acute hormone release, acute liver failure, tumor lysis syndrome, post-embolization syndrome, and radiation pneumonitis (126).

**Liver transplant**

Although usually reserved for patients with life-threatening hormonal imbalances refractory to medical management or diffuse non-functional metastases not responsive to other therapies, orthotopic or living donor liver transplantation has been shown to be successful at alleviating symptoms of hormone excess and improving survival in a select group of patients. A meta-analysis of 103 patients undergoing liver transplant for metastatic NETs reported an overall and disease free 5-year survival of 47% and 24% respectively (133). More recent, smaller series have reported higher survival, ranging from 67-80%, however the rate of cure remains low with disease-free survival ranging from 20-48% at 5 years (134-136). Proposed criteria to optimize outcomes from liver transplantation include age less than 50 years, Ki-67 index of less than 2%, tumors that stain for epithelial cadherin, and lack of extra-hepatic metastases (133-137). Given the limited availability of donors and the currently low cure rates, further efforts to refine selection criteria are essential.

**Medical therapy**

While the primary treatment for pNETs is surgical, many patients present with advanced disease and are not candidates for resection. Many therapeutic modalities exist for medical management of advanced disease including treatment of the symptoms of excess hormone production, somatostatin analogs, peptide receptor radiotherapy (PRRT), and cytotoxic chemotherapy. As mentioned previously, new information from exome sequencing has made targeted molecular therapies for pNETs possible for the first time.

**Managing hormone excess**

In patients with unresectable, metastatic, functional pNETs, medical therapy should focus first on control of the hormone excess state, as untreated hormone excess can be a cause of significant morbidity and mortality (8,112). The acid hyper-secretion of ZE syndrome is usually well controlled on proton pump inhibitors. Symptoms of hypoglycemia from an insulinoma can be controlled with small frequent meals and the drug diazoxide, which suppresses insulin secretion. The hyper-hormonal states of other functional tumors can be treated with short- and long-acting somatostatin analogs (8,112).

**Somatostatin analogs**

Somatostatin analog (SSA) therapy, usually with octreotide or lantreotide, targets somatostatin receptors that are overexpressed on most pNETs. SSAs can be effective at controlling hormone excess in patients with functional tumors; however, they should be used cautiously in patients with insulinomas. Since insulinomas rarely express somatostatin receptors, SSAs can blunt a compensatory glucagon response and exacerbate hypoglycemia. SRS imaging modalities can be useful to evaluate if a particular pNET expresses somatostatin receptors and is a candidate for SSA therapy (8,138). SSAs also seem to have cytostatic effects that can stabilize metastatic disease without tumor regression in most cases. SSAs can impede hormone release and slow cell growth by biding to somatostain receptors, but they also have indirect effects, including inducing apoptosis, suppressing release of growth factors, and inhibiting angiogenesis. The effect of these agents is most pronounced in tumors with a low proliferative index as they have a higher burden of somatostatin receptors (8,139).
Investigation of the disease stabilizing effects of SSAs in pNETs has yet to be performed in a prospective manner. However, in a prospective, randomized, controlled study of 85 patients with metastatic mid-gut NETs, long-acting octreotide lengthened median time to tumor progression from 6 months in the placebo group to 14.3 months in the treatment group. There was no significant survival benefit to octreotide, likely due to the small sample size (140). SSAs have long been the workhorse in medical NET therapy, but combination with newer targeted therapeutic agents may further improve outcomes. Combination of long-acting SSAs with the mTOR inhibitor everolimus and the antiangiogenic monoclonal antibody bevacizumab has shown promise, and multiple trials are ongoing (141-143).

**Peptide receptor radiotherapy**

PRRT is performed by coupling radioactive isotopes to SSAs, which enables selective delivery of radiotherapy to tumor cells. PRRT is a novel therapy and investigations regarding its efficacy are ongoing. One series of 504 patients with gastroenteropancreatic NETs treated with Lu-177 labeled PRRT reported complete and partial tumor response in 2% and 28% of patients respectively. Median time to disease progression was 40 months, and median overall survival was 48 months, demonstrating an improvement over historical controls. Serious treatment toxicity was observed in 3.6% of patients (144). PRRT is usually performed with a single radioisotope; however, some recent data suggests that treatment with a combination of radioisotopes may be superior. A prospective study of 486 patients with NETs treated with Y-90 labeled SSAs versus alternating cycles of Y-90 labeled SSA with Lu-177 labeled SSAs showed a significant improvement in median survival from 3.96 years in the single radioisotope group to 5.51 years in the dual radioisotope group. Rates of toxicity were similar between the two groups (145). While gaining acceptance in Europe, PRRT is still considered investigational in the United States. PRRT is generally reserved for patients demonstrating progression of liver metastases; however, response to therapy is better in patients with limited liver involvement, suggesting that earlier treatment may be superior. Additionally, PRRT has been suggested as neoadjuvant therapy, enabling surgical resection in two out of six patients in a small trial (146).

**Chemotherapy**

While only minimally effective in other NETs, pNETs demonstrate a relative sensitivity to chemotherapy. The type of regimen employed depends on the grade of the tumor, with grade 3 (G3) poorly differentiated tumors responding very differently than grade 1 or 2 (G1/G2) well differentiated tumors. The recommended chemotherapeutic regimen for G3 tumors is cisplatin and etoposide. This has been demonstrated to have a 40-70% response rate, however, the duration of response is generally short (103,147,148). Historically, streptomycin based regimens have been standard therapy for G1/G2 pNETs. Initial studies showed a greater than 60% response rate to streptomycin combined with either 5-FU or doxorubicin (149,150), and for almost the last 30 years streptomycin has been the only US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved chemotherapeutic agent for NETs. However, the toxicity of this regimen was significant; limiting its use. In addition, more recent studies using more standardized criteria to evaluate tumor progression have failed to corroborate these early results and calls into question the efficacy of this regimen (151,152). Most recently, a retrospective series of 84 patients treated with streptomycin, 5-FU, and doxorubicin reported a tumor response rate of 39% with a median response duration of 9.3 months. Two-year overall and progression free survival rates were 74% and 41% respectively (153).

Given these findings, alternative chemotherapeutic regimens are desirable, and the oral alkylating agent temozolomide, particularly in combination with capecitabine, has shown promise. The physiologic mechanism underlying this synergy is unclear, but it has been proposed that capecitabine exposure in cells leads to depletion of O6-methylguanine-DNA methyltransferase (MGMT). MGMT is a DNA repair gene that resists the therapeutic effect of temozolomide, and patients with methylation of the MGMT promoter in their tumor cells, leading to low expression of MGMT, show increased susceptibility to temozolomide in a variety of cancers (154-156). In a series of 30 patients treated with temozolomide in combination with capecitabine, 70% of patients demonstrated a radiographic tumor response. Median progression free survival was 18 months in these patients, and the two-year survival rate was 92%. Toxicity was minimal compared to traditional streptomycin regimens (156). A more recent 18 patient series reports similar findings with a 61% percent response rate, median progression free survival of 14 months, and median overall survival of 83 months in patients treated with combination temozolomide and capecitabine (157). The efficacy shown by temozolomide in these smaller trials warrants further investigation.
Targeted molecular therapy

As mentioned before, genomic exome sequencing has defined the most common mutations found in pNETs: MEN1 in 44% of tumors, DAXX in 25% of tumors, ATRX in 18% of tumors, and mTOR pathway genes in 16% of tumors. As aberrant mTOR pathway genes have been found in 16% of pNETs, it is expected, then, that inhibiting mTOR signaling would inhibit tumor growth in at least a subset of patients. Everolimus, an oral mTOR signaling inhibitor, has shown some effect in treating pNETs. Having shown anti-tumor effect in phase II trials (158), a phase III trial was conducted involving 410 patients with G1/G2 pNETs that showed radiologic progression within the last 12 months randomized to receive either everolimus or placebo. Median progression free survival in the everolimus group was 11 months compared to 4.6 months in the placebo group (159). Based on this study, everolimus became the first drug in almost 30 years to be approved by the FDA for treatment of locally advanced, unresectable, or metastatic pNET. Everolimus may be more effective in combination with other therapies, including SSAs as previously mentioned, and more work is required to define its optimal role in pNET therapy. Given that only 16% of pNETs have mutations in mTOR pathway genes, one intriguing possibility for future therapy would be to sequence individual patient tumors and prioritize patients with known mTOR mutations to everolimus therapy (138).

Sunitinib is the other major molecularly targeted therapy under investigation. pNETs are highly vascular, and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) plays a critical role in their development (160). Sunitinib is an oral tyrosine kinase inhibitor that is known to target VEGF receptors. A recent phase III trial randomized 171 patients with advanced well differentiated pNETs to therapy with sunitinib versus placebo. The trial was terminated early when interim analysis showed a difference in progression free survival favoring sunitinib. Median progression free survival was 11.4 months in the sunitinib group with a tumor response rate of 9.3% compared to 5.5 months and 0% in the placebo group (161). Based on these data, sunitinib was also recently approved by the FDA as first line therapy in advanced pNETs.

Conclusions

pNETs are relatively rare tumors comprising 1-2% of all pancreatic neoplasms. They may arise sporadically or as part of an underlying genetic syndrome, most commonly MEN1. The majority of pNETs are non-functional, although some patients will present with symptoms secondary to excess hormone production from a functional tumor. Localization and staging of pNETs are essential to correct management. The cornerstone of therapy for localized disease is surgical resection and laparoscopic approaches to complex pancreatic resections are becoming more commonplace. However, most patients present with metastatic disease and will require a multidisciplinary therapeutic approach. Cytoreductive surgery is generally indicated if greater than 90 percent of the tumor burden can be removed. Liver metastases are common, and a variety of liver directed therapies exist to aid management. Recent advances in our understanding of the molecular pathogenesis of these tumors are making targeted molecular therapy a possibility for the first time, improving the survival of patients with metastatic disease and an otherwise bleak prognosis.
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