Introduction

Adrenal surgery is becoming more frequent worldwide. The improvements of modern imaging methods, along with an increased detection of adrenal lesions and new surgical techniques linked to the reduction of morbidity and mortality (1), have prompted an increase in indications for resective surgery (2).

With the increased use of robotics in surgery, robotic adrenalectomy (RA), especially in the posterior retroperitoneoscopic approach, has been taken on by many high-volume institutions as a good option over the standard laparoscopic approach (LA). In adrenal gland surgery, the advantages of minimally invasive surgery, compared to open adrenalectomy, are already well known in the literature (3). RA allows precise movements in limited working spaces, higher video resolution, and better sensation to the surgeon, while its functional design facilitates the surgeon's ease of use intraoperatively (3,4).
These benefits may be helpful, especially during dissections in critical and tight fields. RA has proven particularly useful in the posterior retroperitoneoscopic approach where the surgical field is restricted. It has shown its value when managing anatomic variants and when using the cortical-sparing technique as it can help achieve reliable resection while decreasing post-operative steroid dependence (5,6). Despite these strengths, RA has not yet demonstrated substantial superiority in terms of reducing blood loss, or improving conversion and complication rates compared to the LA; meanwhile it still requires considerably longer operative times (7). We must also consider the sizeable learning curve needed for the application of robotic equipment, including advanced laparoscopic surgeons (5,7). Notwithstanding the considerably shorter recovery period for patients undergoing robotic surgery, the total cost of the robotic procedure is also lower than that of the LA (7-9). This review aimed to investigate the incidence of RA complications and to identify the risk factors for the incidence of intra and postoperative complications.

**Methods**

We evaluated the recent literature (from May 2010 up to November 2019) on PubMed Central. Our research was based on the following terms: “robotic adrenalectomy”, “robotic adrenal surgery”, “robotic”, “complication”, “risk factor”, “risk factor for complications”, and “conversion”. All abstracts were read separately by 2 different reviewers. The scientific relevance of the papers was assessed by considering the number of patients, the accuracy of the statistical method, and the originality of the article. Each paper selected was read by at least 2 reviewers, and the pieces that were considered scientifically relevant have been included in the reference section.

**Results**

**Complications**

The incidence of postoperative complications in RA was found to be around 9.2–12.5% (10,11); medical complications, which includes urinary infection, pulmonary infection, and postoperative hypotension, occurred in 2.3% of patients, while surgical complications occurred in 6.9% of patients (10).

The incidence of conversion was lower than 2–13% for laparoscopic surgery and robotic surgery (11-13). The exigency for conversion was not linked with patient age, body mass index (BMI), tumor size, laterality, or pathology, although there was a trend of Cushing’s patients undergoing conversions (11).

Complications associated with robotic adrenal surgery were hemorrhage and bleeding (incidence of 3–4%) (9,14) with need for transfusion, hematoma (incidence of 0.7%) (10), wound and local infection, abscess (incidence of 1.7%) (10), urinary tract infection, adjacent organ injuries with laceration of adjacent organs, ileus (incidence of 3%), and complications related to the laparoscopic procedure and atelectasis (incidence of 3%) (2,15). One of the most frequent complications was incisional hernia, which occurred in 2.6% of patients in RA (10).

Minor complications were fever and pleural effusion (16). The complication rate, postoperative morbidity, and mortality between the robotic and laparoscopic groups were comparable to conventional laparoscopic adrenalectomy (4,17).

The occurrence of complications led to an increase in the length of hospital stay. The length of stay in patients who developed any complication was 10 days, whereas it was 3 days in those who did not (16).

A recent systematic review carried out by Heger et al. included 26 trials, pooling 1,710 cases; no significant disparity between open and minimally invasive techniques for postoperative complication rate were reported. Laparoscopic and robotic approaches seem to be similar. Surgery duration was considerably lower for open adrenalectomy, which was found to be a faster technique than the robotic approach. However, RA reduced bleeding compared with open and laparoscopic surgery, and robotic access was significantly associated with a significant reduction of length of hospital stay, although this divergence was not congruent in the randomized controlled trials (RCTs) (18).

Data regarding complications were also supported by a recent meta-analysis carried out by Economopoulos et al., in which 27 studies were included, pooling 1,162 patients admitted to adrenal surgery (747 underwent RA and 415 underwent conventional LA). No substantial difference was found between the RA and LA groups for intraoperative complications, postoperative complications, mortality, and conversion to laparotomy and blood loss. Patients who underwent the robotic approach had a notably shorter hospitalization and a significantly longer operating time (7). These data are confirmed by Agrusa et al.’s systematic review comparing RA and LA, which included 13 papers,
pooling 798 patients, 379 of whom underwent RA and 419 of whom underwent the LA. The paper did not find any substantial difference in age, although the RA group showed a lower bleeding rate and a shorter hospital stay (19).

Meanwhile, Samreen et al., also found no discrepancy in complication and conversion incidence between robotic surgery and the LA. In their retrospective trial, comprising a total of 1,006 patients (66.4% in the LA group and 33.6% in the RA group) the mean hospital stay was shorter in the robotic group, but the total expenses were higher (12). Therefore there were no differences in the post-operative care between the 2 groups, but the length of hospitalization in RA group was shorter while RA involved higher costs than the laparoscopic or open approach (12).

**Risk factors for complications**

In this non-systematic review we analyzed RA complications in order to identify risk factors for complications after RA.

**BMI**

Obesity is an independent risk factor that needs to be considered in surgical decisions regarding adrenalectomy and in all cases of minimally invasive surgery. The relevant literature remains controversial, because there are few reports that specifically analyze the use of robotic techniques in obese patients. Brunaud et al. observed that the robotic approach offered advantages in obese patients with a BMI between 30 to 44 (9). In contrast, Aksoy et al. reported no significant difference in perioperative outcomes between the robot-assisted approach and the laparoscopic approach in obese patients undergoing adrenalectomy (20) and suggested that the hardships in keeping exposure and dissection in high BMI cases reduced the benefits of RA versus LA.

In a study of 66 patients (26 obese and 40 non-obese) led by Agcaoglu et al., a BMI ≥30 kg/m² did not appear to be a risk factor for intra- and post-operative complications (21). A recent paper carried out by Greilsamer et al. (10) analyzed 303 consecutive patients undergoing unilateral transabdominal RA. BMI was not a significant risk factor for conversion, capsular rupture, or postoperative complication. Thus, while it seems that good results on obese patients might be a unique benefit of RA, we should note that a recent series on conventional laparoscopic surgery recorded similar success (22); it is therefore likely that both the laparoscopic and robotic approach can manage obese patients well.

**Age**

Data collected from the included studies suggested that age does not seem to be an independent risk factor for the possibility of conversion (23) or for an increase in the incidence of complications (2).

**Tumor size**

It is widely accepted that the size of the nodule is a predictor of the difficulty of surgery. In Greilsamer et al.’s series (10), tumor size >5 cm was a predictive factor for conversion to an open procedure, which seems to be a common finding for the LA. A study conducted by Calcaterra et al. on 200 cases of minimally invasive adrenalectomy (RA and LA) also concluded size of tumor >5 cm was a predictive factor for conversion (23). Data related to risk factors for complication in RA were also described in a single-center single-surgeon retrospective study carried out by Quadri et al., who pooled 43 patients that underwent RA: there were no significant differences in operative time, length of hospital stay, blood loss, readmission due to any complications, and conversions to open surgery; for tumor size, there was a higher occurrence of complications in patients with tumors ≥8 versus <8 cm (17). Data regarding risk factors for complications were also supported by a recent paper carried out by Thompson et al., who analyzed 659 patients undergoing adrenal surgery, 250 of whom underwent robotic surgery. In multivariable analysis, tumor size was associated with a higher risk of conversion to open surgery (2). The reason for the need for conversion is not well described. However, we can deduce that, since there was no reported increase in bleeding, this data, correlated with the over-described BMI, is attributable to an increase in technical difficulty in proceeding with robotic surgery and, more widely, with a minimally invasive technique.

**Tumor side**

In Quadri et al.’s paper, there were no significant differences in complications related to side (left versus right) (17). Furthermore, in Greilsamer et al.’s series (10) and in Calcaterra’s paper (23), the side did not seem to influence the incidence of postoperative complications or the need for conversion. Likewise, no statistically relevant correlations were documented in the Thompson series (2).

**Pathology**

Some authors have identified malignancy as a risk factor for complications. For example in Thompson series, the presence of a malignant lesion was linked
to an increased conversion rate and an increase in postoperative hospitalization, but the pheochromocytoma did not appear to be connected with these events. Greilsamer et al. (10) also examined hypercortisolism and pheochromocytoma, but found that they did not seem to correlate with a worse intra or postoperative outcome. The lack of tactile feedback could be a problem during dissection of pheochromocytoma; in fact, improper gland handling can result in catecholamine liberation (24).

Aliyev et al. compared robotic with laparoscopic surgery in the management of pheochromocytoma (25). RA for pheochromocytoma seemed to be equivalent to the laparoscopic technique regarding safety and efficacy; there were also no differences between the 2 approaches regarding the intraoperative hemodynamic parameters.

**Previous surgery**

Previous open abdominal surgery is a known factor in the increasing difficulty of a subsequent minimally invasive surgical approach. This condition is in fact often a determining factor in the decision for choosing a laparoscopic versus robotic approach. In the papers we analyzed, it is therefore not widely considered a risk factor for complications. In the Greilsamer series (10), history of previous ipsilateral upper mesocolic or retroperitoneal surgical procedure was found to be an independent predictor for capsular tear but did not correlate with the increased incidence of post-operative complications.

**Surgeon experience**

The experience of the surgeon and the importance of a learning curve is accepted as relevant factor in nearly all surgery types. The Greilsamer series (10) clearly showed a statistically significant difference in patients undergoing surgery after completion of the learning curve in regards to the intraoperative complication of capsule rupture. Operative time is also widely considered to be a surrogate indicator of the learning curve (13). Brunaud et al. (9) examined a series of 50 RA procedures and observed a reduction in operative time of 116 minutes reported in the first 20 patients to 87 minutes in the most recent ones.

**Conclusions**

The advantage of RA versus the standard minimally invasive approach is still a subject of discussion. RA appears to be safe and should be opted over open adrenalectomy and the LA because of its associated reduction in hospital stay, lower blood loss, and equivalent complication rates. This literature review confirmed that tumor size, malignancy type, the completion of learning curve, and less clearly, previous ipsilateral upper mesocolic or retroperitoneal surgery, were risk factors for postoperative complications and for failure of the robotic approach. In order to be able to best stratify the patients eligible for robotic surgery, further high-quality trials are warranted, especially those analyzing specific risk factors for complications in robotic surgery.
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