Introduction

Laparoscopic adrenalectomy was first performed by Gagner et al. in 1992 and is nowadays a general accepted method of adrenalectomy for almost all indications in adrenal surgery (1-3). Three minimally invasive approaches are now available: the conventional laparoscopic, posterior retroperitoneoscopic and robotic assisted (4). The retroperitoneoscopic adrenalectomy is associated with the shortest hospital stay and robotic adrenalectomy is only cost effective in high volume centers (4). In general, minimally invasive approach offers several advantages over open surgery, such as earlier recovery, smaller incisions with decreased rate of incisional hernias, decreased postoperative pain, shorter length of hospital stay and lower blood loss with equivalent complications rates (4-6). Open adrenalectomy is recommended when adrenocortical carcinoma is suspected preoperatively or in case of very large tumors (4,7,8). Left sided procedure may be associated with problematic identification of the adrenal gland or injury of the pancreatic tail thus additional intraoperative visualization may be very helpful (9,10). Laparoscopic ultrasound (LUS) as a safe method of visualization seems to be an ideal adjunct to a complication free outcome (10).

Methods

The study group consisted of 94 patients (50 women and 44 men) operated on between January 2012 and December
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2018 in one center for endocrine surgery. The patients were qualified for adrenalectomy due to hormonally active tumors (55%) or non-functioning adrenal tumors (45%). Inclusion criterion for the study was the indication for adrenalectomy due to reasons mentioned above and laparoscopic left lateral transabdominal adrenalectomy (LTA). Exclusion criterion was the pre- or postoperatively diagnosed cancer of the adrenal gland and other operative procedures than LTA (not conversion). LTA with LUS was performed in 50 patients, LTA without LUS in 44 patients. Operations with LUS started in January 2015 and since then all patients were operated under LUS guidance. All the procedures were performed by two experienced endocrine surgeons. Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before surgery. All procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments. The study was approved by an Institutional Review Board of Wroclaw Medical University (ID BW-24/2020). Characteristics of the study group are presented in Tables 1, 2.

We used the laparoscopic probe Toshiba PEF-704 LA (frequency 7.0 MHz) for LUS and the diagnostic ultrasound system Toshiba NemioMX SSA-590A all manufactured in Japan. LUS was performed routinely in every patient in LUS group. LUS probe was inserted through the 10 mm trocar. Vascular and avascular structures were differentiated with duplex doppler function. LUS was performed before, during and after preparation in the operative area. A sodium chloride isotonic solution was used to improve acoustic coupling between the probe and the scanned surface. When the intraoperative conditions were difficult (e.g., adhesions, changed anatomy) there was an attempt to find the correct plane of dissection with LUS (Video 1) (Figure 1A,B,C). When the safe preparation was impossible or when the bleeding could not be controlled laparoscopically the operation was converted. After removal of the adrenal gland the drain was left in place to diagnose postoperative bleeding or postoperative pancreatic fistula. LUS was used to localize the adrenal gland and its vascularization and to find the correct plane of dissection (Figure 1D, E, F). Statistical analysis included the unpaired t-test for continuous and Fisher’s exact test for binary variables. The level of statistical significance was set at 95% (P<0.05).

Table 1 Characteristics of the study group according to the age, operating time, size of the tumor, BMI and length of hospital stay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Total LUS</th>
<th>NON-LUS</th>
<th>Total LUS</th>
<th>NON-LUS</th>
<th>Total LUS</th>
<th>NON-LUS</th>
<th>Total LUS</th>
<th>NON-LUS</th>
<th>Total LUS</th>
<th>NON-LUS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>52.3</td>
<td>55.2</td>
<td>86.3</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>4.29</td>
<td>4.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard deviation</td>
<td>16.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>29.2</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>34.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>56.7</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>66.8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Significance</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>P=0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>P=0.036</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>P=0.74</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Characteristics of the study group according to the age, operating time, size of the tumor, BMI and length of hospital stay

Results

Conversions were performed in 1 (2%) patient in LTA with LUS group and in 6 (13.6%) patients in LTA without...
In LUS group we observed 1 (2%) case of intraoperative bleeding and no postoperative pancreatic fistula. In group without LUS there were 6 (13.6%) cases of intraoperative bleeding from adrenal vessels and 2 (4.5%) cases of postoperative pancreatic fistula. The reason of conversion in the LUS group were very dense adhesions, in the group without LUS 2 (4.5%) cases of massive bleeding from adrenal vessels and 4 (9%) cases of dense adhesions making the correct identification of adrenal gland, its vascularization and pancreatic tail impossible (difficult anatomy). The mean time of operation was significantly shorter, conversion and intraoperative bleeding rate significantly smaller in the LUS group in comparison to the group without LUS. We did not observed any significant differences in the age, size of the tumor, body mass index (BMI) and length of hospital stay between the study groups. The previous upper abdominal surgery was observed in 6 (12%) patients from the LUS group and in 5 (11.4%) patients in the group without LUS and the number of conversions in previously operated patients between these two groups of patients was not statistically significant. The results are presented in Tables 1, 2.

**Discussion**

Laparoscopic approach to the adrenal glands usually enables a very precise, minimally invasive and hemostatic dissection with complication rates not different from an open approach (4-6,11,12). Left sided procedure is featured by the lack of major anatomic landmarks, blood vessels located in the retroperitoneal fat and the proximity of the pancreatic tail (13). The prerequisites for successful adrenalectomy are thorough knowledge of retroperitoneal anatomy, precise dissection and hemostasis and gentle tissue handling enabling complication free surgery (14-16). Previous abdominal or retroperitoneal surgery may be associated with adhesions and difficult anatomy leading to intraoperative bleeding, damage of surrounding organs (spleen, pancreas) and problems with localization of the adrenal gland. LTA on the left side may be typical associated with injuries to the pancreatic tail or hemorrhage from the retroperitoneal adrenal vessels or the splenic vasculature (9). The rate of distal pancreatic injuries varies between 2.5–8.6% for left sided procedure what may lead to the postoperative pancreatitis and/or fistula (9,17). The rate of conversions varies between 0–13% due to extensive adhesions, hemorrhage, difficult dissection, organ injury and iatrogenic pneumothorax (9,18-28). According to
our observations LUS significantly reduces the operating time and conversion rate—the independent risk factor for complications is conversion to open surgery and adrenalectomy on the left side what is associated with the partial mobilization of the left pancreas and spleen (29). LUS may be very helpful in exact localization of the adrenal gland and its vascularization resulting in precise, directed, time efficient and bloodless dissection (10). In case of a close proximity of the pancreatic tail LUS enables to find the correct plane of dissection. LUS is extremely useful in patients after previous upper abdominal surgery when the anatomical planes may be changed but some authors do not find any significant association between previous surgery and conversion rate and we also did not find such significant correlation (1,30). LUS is non-invasive and non-irradiating, can be performed before, during and after dissection and repeated as many times as needed without negative impact on the patient and operating staff (31).

**Acknowledgments**

**Funding:** None.

**Footnote**

**Conflicts of Interest:** All authors have completed the ICMJE uniform disclosure form. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**Ethical Statement:** The authors are accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved. The study was approved by an Institutional Review Board of Wroclaw Medical University (ID BW-24/2020). Written informed consent was obtained from all patients before surgery. All procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki and its later amendments.

**Open Access Statement:** This is an Open Access article distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons

---

**Figure 1** The following steps of LUS guided left LTA in case of adhesions (white arrows) and changed anatomy. (A) Removal of adhesions; (B) after removal of adhesions the retroperitoneal space is well visible; (C) LUS is used to localize the tumor in the left adrenal gland and its vascularization and define the right plane of dissection; (D,E) the tumor in the left adrenal gland and its vascularization were found with LUS; (F) after precise localization the left adrenal gland with tumor was removed in a safe way without intraoperative complications. LTA, lateral transabdominal adrenalectomy; LAV, left adrenal vein; LRA, left renal artery; LRV, left renal vein; LUS, laparoscopic ultrasound; R, retroperitoneum; S, spleen; T, tumor.
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