Combined deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap with vascularized groin lymph node transplant for treatment of breast cancer-related lymphedema
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Abstract: For survivors of breast cancer lymphedema is their greatest survivorship burden. Modern surgical techniques to treat lymphedema are effective at reducing limb volume, symptoms of lymphedema, episodes of cellulitis, and improving patient quality of life. Physiologic procedures, including lymphovenous bypass (LVB) and vascularized lymph node transplant (VLNT), restore physiological lymphatic function within the affected extremity. In patients with post-mastectomy breast cancer-related upper extremity lymphedema that desire breast reconstruction, microvascular abdominal flap breast reconstruction can be combined with superficial inguinal (groin) VLNT to provide breast reconstruction and treatment of lymphedema in a single operation. This article reviews the indications, preoperative assessment, surgical technique, outcomes, and tips and pearls for performing this procedure.
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Background

Around 3.5 million women in the United States are survivors of breast cancer, with almost 270,000 new cases diagnosed annually (1). Breast cancer-related lymphedema (BCRL) remains the greatest cancer survivorship burden for breast cancer survivors (2-5), affecting around 30% of patients treated for breast cancer (6), and all survivors remain at risk of developing clinical lymphedema over their lifetime (7). Modern surgical techniques have demonstrated effectiveness at decreasing the symptoms of lymphedema, reducing the risk of future infections, decreasing the amount of time spent daily for lymphedema care and improving quality of life (8-13). These procedures can be broadly categorized as physiological or debulking. Physiological procedures, including lymphovenous bypass (LVB) and vascularized lymph node transplant (VLNT), aim to restore lymphatic fluid drainage within the affected extremity (14-19). The VLNT procedure is indicated in advanced stage lymphedema and involves microvascular anastomosis to perfuse and maintain function of the lymph nodes transplanted into an extremity, either to an anatomical (orthotopic) or non-anatomical (heterotopic) location, to restore physiological lymphatic function (9,10,14-17). Orthotopic VLNT has the additional advantage of the opportunity for radical axillary scar release/lysis to allow drainage from the affected extremity, relieve restrictions to upper extremity range of motion, create a recipient bed for the lymph node flap, and in cases of venous insufficiency decompress the axillary/subclavian vein.

Vascularized lymph node flaps may be harvested from
within the inguinal, axillary, or cervical lymph node basins, as well as from within the abdomen; these include the superficial inguinal (groin) (20,21), lateral thoracic (22-25), supraclavicular (26-29), submental (30), omental (gastroepiploic) (31-33), and jejunal mesenteric flaps (34,35). The groin lymph node flap, which incorporates lymph nodes from within the superficial inguinal lymph node basin, remains the most commonly performed VLNT due to the well-understood vascular anatomy and consistent lymph node yield (36-39). In patients undergoing postmastectomy breast reconstruction the superficial inguinal (groin) vascularized lymph node flap can be transferred en bloc with a deep inferior epigastric artery perforator (DIEP) or muscle-sparing transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous (MS-TRAM) flap in a single operation without the need for additional scars (10,37,38). This article reviews the indications, preoperative assessment, surgical technique, complications, and lessons learned for this procedure.

**Indications/contraindications**

Combined DIEP flap and groin VLNT is indicated in patients with postmastectomy lymphedema that desire/require microvascular autologous flap breast reconstruction. These patients have typically received postmastectomy radiation therapy (PMRT) and therefore autologous reconstruction is generally favored over implant-based reconstruction; optimal timing for breast reconstruction is typically after 6 months following completion of radiation therapy (40). In patients with significant axillary scarring that is limiting upper extremity mobility, and where it is contributing to venous insufficiency, there is an opportunity for radical axillary scar release with decompression of the axillary vein by removing extrinsic scar. Where the forearm/hand are affected, the authors routinely combine DIEP flap/groin VLNT with LVB in the forearm which have a synergistic effect on treating the lymphedema, with the two procedures working to complement each other by different mechanisms.

Our indications for performing these procedures with respect to body mass index (BMI) are similar to those of autologous breast reconstruction, although lymphedema may be precipitated spontaneously at extremes of BMI (41). Obese patients are often the patient group most severely affected by lymphedema and potentially have the most to gain by these surgeries, although they must be counselled regarding the higher rate of wound-related complications (42). Absolute contraindications include severe medical comorbidities, unresectable chest wall disease, uncontrolled metastatic disease, and specifically prior ligation of the deep inferior epigastric (DIE) pedicle or prior abdominoplasty. Relative contraindications include active cigarette smoking and the presence of multiple abdominal scars (43). If a prior Pfannenstiel incision transgresses between the abdominal flap and groin lymph node flap then additional anastomosis of both the superficial circumflex iliac artery (SCIA) and vein (SCIV) is required. Patients with history suggestive of thrombophilia undergoing any microvascular flap procedure should undergo preoperative hematological testing.

**Preoperative assessment**

Patients undergo assessment of their lymphedema preoperatively, which includes clinical examination, limb volume assessment using a perometer, and bioimpedance spectroscopy, as well as staging investigations including radionuclide lymphoscintigraphy or near-infrared fluorescent lymphatic imaging (NIRFLI). Duplex ultrasonography is indicated to exclude venous thrombosis and venous insufficiency. Preoperative imaging using computed tomographic angiography (CTA) or magnetic resonance angiography (MRA) for perforator/pedicle selection is important for microvascular abdominal flap breast reconstruction, especially where there are multiple abdominal scars or a history of abdominal liposuction, and also for evaluation of the groin lymph node flap vascular anatomy and lymph node localizations.

A rare but devastating disadvantage of harvest of lymph node flaps from the inguinal region is the potential to cause donor site lower extremity lymphedema; we recommend that reverse lymphatic mapping using sentinel lymph node biopsy techniques is always used to enable intraoperative guidance to avoid lymph nodes within a regional lymphatic basin that drain the donor extremity to avoid the risk of causing iatrogenic leg lymphedema (22). Preoperative radionuclide lymphoscintigraphy can also be performed the day prior to surgery with the use of single-photon emission CT (SPECT) imaging to provide multiplanar visualization of the sentinel lymph node locations with the inguinal region to allow presurgical planning as well as intraoperative guidance using a gamma detection probe system (Figure 1).

**Surgical technique**

The dominant blood supply to the transverse lower abdominal tissue is from perforators of the DIE pedicle,
a branch of the external iliac vessels, via the rectus abdominis muscle. This is the main vascular supply to the microvascular transverse abdominal flap, harvested as either a DIEP or MS-TRAM flap. Although there is a variable contribution from the superficial inferior epigastric artery and vein (SIEA/SIEV), perfusion across the midline is unreliable, and in practice the SIE vessels are only used as a secondary blood supply in bipedicled flap designs (38). The superficial inguinal (groin) lymph node basin that drains the lower abdomen supplied by the SCIA/SCIV is the target for lymph node flap harvest from this region. Flap markings are similar to a standard free abdominal flap/abdominoplasty and the preferred side for lymph node flap harvest can be determined from preoperative imaging.

First, staging is performed intraoperatively using indocyanine green (ICG) NIRFLI. Intradermal injection of ICG into the webspaces of the affected upper extremity allows the lymphatic vessels to be mapped. LVB can be performed to any obstructed lymphatic vessels visualized, and targeted supermicrosurgical bypass of these are performed to neighboring venules using standard techniques (44).

Radical axillary scar release is performed to create a recipient bed for the lymph node flap and expose branches of the subscapular axis for anastomosis of the lymph node flap; typically a branch of the thoracodorsal vessels or the serratus vessels are used as recipient vessels, preserving the latissimus dorsi flap lifeboat (Figure 2). We advocate that care must be taken to lyse the perivascular scar around the recipient vessels to prevent flap venous congestion, as well as any bands compressing the axillary/subclavian vein which can provide some independent improvement in the lymphedema.

Detailed knowledge of the lymphovascular anatomy and variability of the superficial and deep inguinal lymph node flap donor site is essential to avoid the risk of iatrogenic donor-site lower extremity lymphedema and ensure viability of the lymph nodes within the flap. The superficial inguinal lymph nodes are separated by distinct fascial boundaries from the deep lymph node basins adjacent to the femoral vessels that drain the lower extremity (20,21,36). Intradermal injection of ICG at multiple locations cephalad to the lower abdominal incision can facilitate identification of the superficial inguinal lymph nodes using intraoperative fluorescent imaging guidance and ensure that these are included within the flap (22).

The inferior incision is made first to raise the lymph node flap en bloc with the abdominal flap. Flap harvest is performed at the suprafascial (Scarpas) plane with care to include the SIEA/SIEV and SCIA/SCIV to ensure perfusion (36-39,45,46). Dissection medial to the lateral border of femoral artery, caudal to the groin crease, and deep to the fascia of the thigh, must be avoided (47-49) (Figure 3). The lymph node flap is raised under gamma probe reverse lymphatic mapping guidance to avoid the sentinel lymph nodes draining the lower extremity.

Next, we proceed to harvest of the microvascular
abdominal flap. If there is one dominant perforator, or two or three suitable perforators in the same intramuscular septum, then a DIEP flap is raised; if not then as much muscle as is necessary to incorporate multiple smaller perforators is included for a MS-TRAM flap. As the flap needs to reach the apex of the axilla, dissection of the DIE pedicle is performed to the origin at the external iliac vessels to maximize the pedicle length; we typically prefer anastomosis to the internal mammary recipient vessels.

Where the lymph node flap is located on the hemiabdomen ipsilateral to the perforators supplying the flap or where bilateral flap reconstruction is performed, additional anastomosis of the SCIV to a vein from the subscapular axis in the axilla may be required to adequately drain the lymph nodes; we have found the use of intraoperative fluorescent perfusion clearance imaging to be very helpful in aiding this decision (Figure 3). Where the lymph node flap is located on the contralateral hemiabdomen to the perforators, additional anastomosis of both the SCIA and SCIV to branches of the subscapular system within the axilla is necessary to perfuse the lymph node flap. The presence of the SCIA is variable, and where absent a bipedicled flap design is required to ensure perfusion of the lymph node flap; the SCIA pedicle length is typically short and the arterial caliber is usually small (50). Care should be taken to close the donor site to avoid a contour defect postoperatively, and advancement of the superior abdominal flap can be helpful to reconstruct the volume defect (51).

Postoperative flap monitoring is performed using a standard free flap protocol with the patient initially cared for flexed at the abdomen; inpatient stay is typically 3–4 days. Perioperative antibiotics are instituted to reduce the risk of infection, and adequate postoperative care is essential to ensure successful flap outcome.

**Figure 2** Preparation of the axilla for orthotopic vascularized lymph node flap placement. Radical excision of scar tissue has been performed, including around the subclavian vein with preservation of all neurovascular structures. The serratus anterior vessels have been prepared for additional anastomosis of the SCIA/SCIV by excision of all perivascular scar tissue and the thoracodorsal vessels have been spared so that the latissimus dorsi muscle is preserved. SCIA, superficial circumflex iliac artery; SCIV, superficial circumflex iliac vein.

**Figure 3** Microvascular deep inferior epigastric artery perforator flap combined with vascularized groin lymph node flap supplied by the superficial circumflex iliac pedicle (RIGHT). Dissection is limited to lateral to the lateral border of the femoral artery, cephalad to the groin crease, and superficial to the fascia of the thigh, and is performed under gamma probe guidance using reverse lymphatic mapping to avoid the sentinel lymph nodes draining the lower extremity. Adequate lymph node flap perfusion is assessed by fluorescent perfusion clearance imaging and additional anastomosis of the SCIA/SCIV is performed in the axilla to branches of the subscapular system (LEFT). SCIA, superficial circumflex iliac artery; SCIV, superficial circumflex iliac vein.
of upper extremity cellulitis. Compression garment use is recommenced at 2–4 weeks postoperatively and strenuous activities are avoided for 6–8 weeks.

**Complications**

Total flap failure rate is typically around 1–2% for free DIEP or MS-TRAM flaps (52). Other complications include venous congestion, hematoma, partial flap loss, fat necrosis, seroma, wound infection or breakdown, and donor-site bulge or hernia; obesity and smoking are associated with surgical site complications (43). Abdominal donor site surgical drains typically need to remain *in situ* for longer when groin lymph nodes are harvested due to higher drain output.

**Outcomes**

Studies have reported favorable outcomes for lymphedema treatment using combined microvascular abdominal flap breast reconstruction with groin VLNT. Saaristo *et al.* evaluated outcomes in 9 patients with upper extremity BCRL that underwent microvascular abdominal flap breast reconstruction with superficial inguinal VLNT and found that limb circumference was reduced in 7 of 9 patients (78%), and compression therapy was no longer required in 3 patients (33%); in patients that underwent postoperative lymphoscintigraphy, improvement in lymphatic vessel function was found in 5 of 6 patients (83%) (37). In another study, Nguyen and colleagues evaluated outcomes in 29 patients with lymphedema that underwent microvascular abdominal flap breast reconstruction combined with groin VLNT and found that sustained improvement in symptoms of lymphedema were reported in 23 of 29 patients (79%) at a mean follow-up of 11 months (range, 3–33 months) after reconstruction (38); mean differential volumes measured using a perometer in all of the patients improved from 21% increased volumes preoperatively to 20%, 19%, 14%, and 10% at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months after reconstruction, respectively. De Brucker *et al.* evaluated outcomes in 25 patients with BCRL, of which 22 underwent simultaneous DIEP flap with groin VLNT, and 2 underwent microvascular groin VLNT to the axilla following prior DIEP flap breast reconstruction (53). Eleven patients (44%) discontinued compression therapy postoperatively. Of 6 patients with recurrent infections, 3 did not experience a further infection and in the other 3 the frequency was reduced with mean follow-up of 29 months (range, 8–64 months); patient reported outcomes scores using the Upper Limb Lymphedema-27 questionnaire were significantly improved postoperatively, with improved questionnaire scores in 21 of 25 patients (84%).

**Discussion**

The combined DIEP flap with groin VLNT procedure is indicated in patients with postmastectomy BCRL undergoing microvascular autologous flap breast reconstruction. Autologous breast reconstruction is typically indicated in patients that have received PMRT, which is an independent risk factor for the development of lymphedema (3-5,54). The advantages of combining microvascular abdominal flap reconstruction with groin VLNT include achieving breast reconstruction and treatment of lymphedema potentially in a single operation, optimization of the blood supply to the lymph node flap via the abdominal flap carrier given the anatomical variability of the SCIA, avoidance of an additional scar for lymph node flap harvest, and opportunity for axillary scar release. Whether performing this surgery in patients at high risk of developing lymphedema can prevent its occurrence is currently under investigation.

The decision regarding placement of the VLNT to an orthotopic or heterotopic location within the affected extremity remains a subject of debate (55-62). Experimental and clinical studies have demonstrated that orthotopic VLNT functions by a “bridging” mechanism via lymphangiogenesis with new afferent and efferent lymphatic collateral pathways connecting the transplanted lymph nodes with lymphatic vessels in the recipient site to restore outflow (55,63,64). Where the distribution of the lymphedema also affects the forearm/hand we have found that performing LVB to the forearm provides synergistic improvement of the lymphedema, with VLNT and LVB functioning by different mechanisms.

A rare but devastating risk of harvest of lymph node flaps from the inguinal region is the potential to cause donor extremity lymphedema (47,65,66). In one prospective study donor lower extremity lymphedema was diagnosed in 2 of 14 patients following groin VLNT (16 flaps) to treat upper extremity lymphedema (47), and in another series lower limb swelling after groin lymph node flap harvest was reported in 1 of 42 patients (66). There is also evidence that even in the absence of clinical lower extremity lymphedema lymphatic function may still be compromised: in one study of 30 consecutive patients that underwent groin VLNT
found that at a mean of 13 months after surgery, the transport indexes were significantly higher in the donor limb but within the normal range, with no lymphedema clinically or on lymphoscintigraphy (67); in another study postoperative lymphoscintigraphy was performed in 10 patients who underwent groin VLN flap harvest and minor changes in lymphatic flow were noted in 6 patients, with an abnormal transport index in 2 patients, but without clinical evidence of lymphedema (68). The same group found an abnormal lymphatic transport index on lymphoscintigraphy in 2 of 13 patients, but in none out of 16 cases where a modified groin lymph node flap harvest technique was used. This modified technique included harvest of only the SCIA, no tissue medial to the femoral artery in order to avoid the sentinel lymph nodes, and inclusion of only one palpable lymph node within the flap (69). Detailed knowledge of the lymphovascular anatomy and variability of the inguinal donor site is therefore essential to avoid the risk of iatrogenic donor site lower extremity lymphedema. The authors recommend that dissection medial to the lateral border of femoral artery, caudal to the groin crease, and deep to the fascia of the thigh, is absolutely avoided (47-49). We also always use preoperative SPECT reverse lymphatic mapping using radioactive isotope to identify the sentinel lymph nodes draining the lower limb so that they can be preserved during surgery by observing counts using a gamma probe for groin vascularized lymph node flap harvest, and we believe this to be essential to avoid the risk of donor extremity lymphedema given individual lymphatic anatomical variations (48).

Conclusions

Groin VLNT combined with microvascular DIEP flap breast reconstruction allows for treatment of lymphedema and autologous breast reconstruction in one operation and without additional scars or hospital stay. Combining this procedure with LVB if indicated can have synergistic benefit for treating lymphedema affecting the forearm/hand. Although a risk, symptomatic iatrogenic donor site lymphedema is a rare occurrence that can be minimized provided that surgeons strictly adhere to flap harvest guidelines to limiting the extent of the dissection and always employ reverse lymphatic mapping.
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