Immediate breast reconstruction: does the pathology affect the reconstruction?
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Abstract: Immediate breast reconstruction is related to many factors like type of mastectomy, desire of the patient but pathology is not included which should be encountered in decision making in immediate breast reconstruction.
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Although the screening mammogram succeeded in finding many cases of early breast cancer which can be managed early by conservative breast surgery with or without oncoplastic techniques, mastectomy remains an important option for breast cancer and with the revolution of skin sparing mastectomy (SSM) and nipple sparing mastectomy (NSM), immediate breast reconstruction is increasingly demanded by the patients and the surgeons (1).

Immediate breast reconstruction can be served in two ways either autologous flaps or implants based reconstruction and this depends on many factors as type of surgery (e.g., NSM, SSM, modified radical) and the medical history of the patient (Diabetic, Smoker) and the local circumstances after mastectomy (e.g., pectoral fascia) and laterality (unilater or bilateral) and the patients preference (e.g., refused implants) and also the need of postoperative radiotherapy. Implant-based approaches are simpler to perform, avoiding the potential morbidities associated with the donor site, and can be offered to thin women who do not have adequate autologous tissue in potential donor sites. Also tissue expander can be placed between the chest wall musculature and serially inflated until an appropriate tissue envelope is created, at which time the expander is replaced with a permanent implant while autologous reconstructions are commonly performed using a transverse rectus abdominis myocutaneous (TRAM) flap. Alternatively, a latissimus dorsi flap or a flap based on the deep inferior epigastric perforator (DIEP) artery or gluteal arteries can be used for the reconstruction. In general, immediate reconstructions are accompanied by a skin-sparing mastectomy, thus preserving sensitive skin and a natural inframammary sulcus for the reconstruction (2-4).

Postoperative radiotherapy negatively impacts on the results of breast reconstruction. However, the rates of complications as well as the aesthetic outcomes vary depending on the timing of the radiation therapy in relation to the reconstruction as well as on the type of reconstruction employed. Postoperative radiotherapy can affect the implant, so the use of expanders are preferred in these situations. Postoperative radiotherapy increases the chance of capsular contracture for this reasons some surgeons prefer the use of autologous breast reconstruction as an immediate breast reconstruction which can sometimes affected by the radiotherapy. Complications of infection of tissue expanders and implants in the setting of radiation can usually be salvaged by temporary removal of the implant followed by delayed reconstruction with an implant and a latissimus dorsi myocutaneous flap, which provides healthy, well-perfused tissue to cover the implant and replaces some of the radiation damaged skin (5,6).
Therefore the decision of reconstruction will depend on if the patient will receive radiotherapy or not. Radiotherapy can be given in two ways as intraoperative radiotherapy (ELIOT) or postoperative radiotherapy either local or locoregional depending upon the lymph nodes (if more than 3 metastatic lymph nodes the patient will take locoregional radiotherapy). From previous we can conclude that radiotherapy is decided after the complete pathological analysis of the axilla if sentinel lymph node is positive, but if sentinel lymph node is negative radiotherapy will not received by the patient. So, metastasis to lymph nodes is not predictable except in the cases of pure in situ carcinoma as Ductal carcinoma in situ (DCIS) that is the only pathology which doesn’t metastasize to lymph nodes only if it is mixed with invasive pattern. So during mastectomy for DCIS, it is better to do sentinel lymph node biopsy to exclude invasive pattern (7,8).

Inflammatory breast cancer is a distinct clinical entity within breast cancer that warrants urgent and aggressive treatment with neoadjuvant chemotherapy followed by multimodality locoregional therapy, it has a very bad prognosis and usually doesn’t need immediate breast cancer and needs delayed breast reconstruction (9).

Another rare type of pathology is the breast phylloide which represent 1% of all breast cancer and may reach a very large size (up to 10 cm). At this type mastectomy with immediate breast reconstruction is valid as the patients don’t receive radiotherapy except if the tumor is more than 5 cm or mixed with invasive carcinoma or there is lymph node metastasis (10).

So, we can conclude that pathology is important to decide the type of mastectomy, predict prognosis and not important for type of reconstruction except in the cases of pure DCIS or breast phylloide or invasive carcinoma with negative sentinel lymph node, the surgeon can do immediate breast reconstruction. On the contrary, inflammatory breast cancer is impossible.
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