Radioguided sentinel lymph node biopsy in patients with papillary thyroid carcinoma

Mattia Portinari, Paolo Carcoforo

Department of Surgery, Morphology, and Experimental Medicine, S. Anna University Hospital, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: All authors; (II) Administrative support: All authors; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: All authors; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Mattia Portinari, MD. Department of Surgery, S. Anna University Hospital, Via Aldo Moro, 8 1C2 Room 2 34 38, 44124 Ferrara (Cona), Italy. Email: mattia.portinari@unife.it.

Background: The ATA guidelines do not recommend prophylactic central compartment neck dissection in patients with T1–T2 papillary thyroid carcinoma (PTC) with no clinical evidence of lymph node metastasis, however patients’ staging is recommended. Lymph node metastasis may be present also in small PTC, but preoperative ultrasound identifies suspicious cervical lymphadenopathy in 20–30% of patients. The role of sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) remain open to debate. It has been shown that the identification rate of SLN in PTC patients is improved using a radiotracer compared to a dye technique. The aim of this systematic review was to evaluate the role of radioguided SLNB (rSLNB) in the treatment of PTC patients.

Methods: A systematic search was performed in the PubMed and Embase database to identify all original articles regarding the application of rSLNB in PTC patients. The primary outcome was false negative rate (FNR) of the rSLNB; the secondary outcomes were SLN intraoperative identification rate (IIR), site of lymph node metastasis, and persistent disease during follow up.

Results: Twelve studies were included. Most of PTC patients were T1–T2. The overall SLN IIR, SLN metastatic rate, and FNR were 92.1%, 33.6%, and 25.4%, respectively. Overall, lymph node metastasis were found in the central compartment (23.0%) and in the lateral compartments (10.6%). The persistent disease in patients who underwent SLNB associated to lymph node dissection (LND) in the same compartment of the SLN regardless of the SLN status was 0.6%.

Conclusions: In all PTC patients, also in T1–T2 stage, due to the high FNR the SLNB performed alone should be abandoned and converted into a technique to guide the lymphadenectomy in a specific neck compartment (i.e., central or lateral) based on the radioactivity, regardless of the SLN status, for better lymph node staging and selection of patients for postoperative radioiodine ablation.
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cannot be assessed histologically.

Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB) is widely accepted as the standard of care in patients with breast cancer (5) and clinically localized malignant melanoma (6) for correct lymphatic basin staging and for selection of patients who can benefit from lymph node dissection (LND). However, the role of SLNB in the treatment of PTC remain open to debate. Rajmakers et al. in a meta-analysis on sentinel lymph node (SLN) detection in patients with thyroid cancer, with the aim to determine the technique (dye versus radioguided surgery) that demonstrated the highest success rate, indicated that studies using the radioguided technique yielded an approximately 13% higher SLN detection rate compared with those using the dye technique (7), and this data are reinforced by a most recent meta-analysis (8). Furthermore, the injection of the radiotracer for radioguided surgery is performed preoperatively, thus this strategy eliminates the potential disruption of lymphatic channel during the initial dissection that normally occurs with SLNB using the intraoperative injection of dye. This findings support the use of radioguided technique instead of dye method in thyroid cancer patients. Some Authors have proposed, like in breast cancer and melanoma, a radioguided SLNB (rSLNB) (9) with SLN frozen section to identify patients with SLN metastasis in whom LND of the neck compartments should be performed and those with negative SLN in whom the LND may be avoided. By contrast, other Authors (10) suggested a new concept of radioguided surgery for lymph node staging of PTC patients, based on the use of SLNB only as a guide to perform a selective LND of the SLN compartment (i.e., central or lateral neck compartments) regardless of the rSLNB status, due to the high false negative rate (FNR) of the rSLNB carried out alone.

The aim of this systematic review was to evaluate the role of rSLNB in the treatment of PTC patients, with a particular interest on the safety of the procedure and the impact on lymph node staging.

Methods

Eligibility criteria

This systematic review was performed following the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic review and Meta-Analysis Protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement (11). Using the PICO (Participants, Intervention, Comparison, Outcomes and design) method, the criteria for selection of the studies were:

(I) Participants: studies of patients with PTC;
(II) Intervention: studies in which PTC patients were treated by rSLNB performed by a radiotracer (e.g. $^{99m}$Tc); 
(III) Comparator: a control group was not necessary due to the primary and secondary outcomes;
(IV) Outcomes: the primary outcome was the FNR of the rSLNB to evaluate the safety of the procedure. The secondary outcomes were the intraoperative identification rate (IIR) of the SLN, the site of both SLN and lymph node metastasis within the neck compartments to define the possible role of rSLNB for lymph node staging; and the persistent disease during follow up to determine the safety of the rSLNB associated to LND in the same compartment of the SLN;
(V) Type of study: randomized control trial (RCT), retrospective and prospective cohort study, retrospective and prospective observational study, regardless of the number of patients involved. In case of studies in which PTC patients treated by rSLNB were compared to other techniques, only patients who underwent rSLNB were included in the review analysis, due to the aims of this systematic review.

No limitation regarding publication date was considered; only articles in English language were included.

The exclusion criteria were: (I) SLNB performed by a dye technique or other methods; (II) studies without original data (i.e., letter to the editor, review, editorial); (III) abstract accepted for presentation at a national/international meeting due to the insufficient patients’ data available in the abstract.

Among the studies included in the review, we excluded from the analysis: (I) patients without PTC; (II) patients who underwent SLNB performed by a dye technique alone, used in the study as a control group; (III) patients who underwent a central compartment LND without rSLNB, used in the study as a control group.

Information sources and search strategy

A systematic search was performed on July 17, 2016 in the PubMed database and Embase database to identify all original articles regarding the application of rSLNB in PTC patients. The searched terms were:

(I) ‘sentinel lymph node’ OR ‘radioguided surgery’ OR ‘radioguided’ OR ‘radio-guided’ OR ‘gamma-probe’ AND ‘papillary thyroid cancer’;
Study records

Titles and abstracts of all identified articles were screened by two independent investigators (MP, PC), and the articles meeting the inclusion criteria were deeply analyzed in the full-text version. Multiple articles from the same authors and institutions were evaluated carefully for possible duplication, and if this was happened, only the most recent article was included to avoid duplication of patients' data.

Data were recorded according to the PICO method and, based on the outcomes of the present review, we have reported the FNR of the rSLNB, the IIR of the SLN, the site of both SLN and lymph node metastasis within the neck compartments, and the persistent disease (i.e., lymph node metastases within the first 12 months of follow-up). During the analysis of the selected studies, if the FNR was erroneously considered by the Authors as the rate of false negative cases over the entire group of patients, the FNR was calculated with a rigorous formula as previously reported (10,12). Briefly, the FNR for rSLNB was calculated as the number of patients with negative SLN but positive non-SLN after LND in the same compartment of the SLN (i.e., false negative cases) over the sum of the true positive (i.e., patients with positive SLN) plus the false negative cases, multiplied by 100. The FNR for the radioguided LND in the SLN compartment (i.e., radioguided selective compartment neck dissection; RSCND) was calculated as the number of patients with both negative SLN and non-SLN after RSCND who developed lymph node metastases within the first 12 months of follow-up (i.e., false negative cases) over the sum of the true positive (i.e., patients with either positive SLN or NSLN or both) plus the false negative cases, multiplied by 100. The FNR of SLN frozen section was considered as the number of patients with negative SLN at intraoperative pathological examination but positive SLN at final pathology (i.e., false negative cases) over the sum of the true positive (i.e., patients with positive SLN at frozen section) plus the false negative cases, multiplied by 100.

Risk of bias in individual studies

A control group was not necessary due to the primary and secondary outcomes of this review and only PTC patients who underwent rSLNB were included in the review analysis without a control group, thus the evaluation of the bias risk with the Newcastle-Ottawa scale (13) for the case-control or cohort studies and the Jadad scale (14) for RCT was not applicable.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as a median (range) or mean (standard deviation). Descriptive analyses were performed by using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 24.0 (IBM Corp. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

Results

Selection process of the articles

After duplicates removal, 139 potentially relevant records were identified and 127 of these articles were excluded (Figure 1). The aims of the 12 articles included in the review analysis were: (I) to evaluate the feasibility of rSLNB in four studies (15-18); (II) to compare the identification of SLN with rSLNB versus SLNB performed by a dye technique in two studies (19,20); and (III) to determine the role of rSLNB for PTC lymph node staging in six studies (9,10,21-24). Only PTC patients who underwent rSLNB were included in the analysis, achieving a total of 800 patients. Among the 12 studies included, only one involves >300 patients, two ≥100 patients, and all the others comprise <50 patients. Despite the low number of patients, three case series were included in the review as the first historical experiences on rSLNB.

The vast majority of PTC patients of the included studies had a tumor size ≤40 mm (T1–T2) (Table 1).

Radioguided sentinel lymph node biopsy

Injection site and intraoperative identification rate of SLN

An intratumoral injection of the radiotracer was used in 10 studies (9,15-20,22-24) with a median IIR of 100% (63–100%); in one study it was injected in the peritumoral space with an IIR of 91.9% (21); in one study the radiotracer was injected peritumorally in the first study period and then intratumorally to improve the preoperative visualization with an IIR of 100% (10) (Table 1). The IIRs of the included studies are shown in Table 1. Overall, the SLN was identified in 737 out of 800 patients and the overall SLN IIR with the radioguided technique was 92.1%.
Site of SLN within the neck compartments

Table 2 shows the distribution of the SLN within the neck compartments in the different studies included in the review. Not all studies have described the SLN basin in the neck, and in 122 out of 737 patients (16.5%) it was not available (17-19,21,23). Among all other patients the SLN was in the central compartment in 53.1% (391/737), in the lateral one in 26.2% (193/737), in both central and lateral compartments in 2.3% (17/737), and in the contralateral compartments in 1.9% (14/737).

Type of LND associated to SLNB

As shown in Table 2, a LND in the same compartment of the SLN regardless of the SLN status was performed in 5 out of 12 studies (449 patients; 60.9%) (10,15,16,19,21). Instead, in five studies the LND of the SLN compartment was done if positive SLN at intraoperative frozen section (283 patients; 38.4%) (9,20,22-24). In two case series only the SLN was removed (5 patients; 0.7%) (17,18).

Lymph node metastasis

SLN pathological evaluation

Among five studies in which a frozen section of the SLN was performed, the FNR of intraoperative pathological examination were 17.2% (24), 8.3% (22), and 9.5% (20). In two studies the false negative cases of SLN frozen section were not available (9,23). In all other studies a postoperative pathological evaluation was done (Table 2).

SLN status and lymph node metastasis within the neck compartments

The SLN status and site of lymph node metastasis within the neck compartments of the included studies are summarized in Table 3. The overall SLN metastatic rate was 33.6% (248/737); the overall lymph node metastatic rate was 38.6% (309/800). In 36 out of 309 patients (4.5%), the site of lymph node metastasis was not available, and then the lymph node metastatic rates within the neck compartments were 23.0% in the central compartment (184/800); 10.6% in the lateral compartments (85/800); 0.1% in both central and lateral compartments (1/800); and 0.4% in the contralateral compartments (3/800).

False negative rate

Table 3 shows the FNR of the included studies in which a LND in the same compartment of the SLN was performed and the false negative cases were available, thus the overall FNR was 25.4% (48/189).

Persistent and recurrent disease

Follow up was available only in three studies; the persistent and recurrent disease are shown in Table 4.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Study period</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Total number of PTC patients</th>
<th>Tumor size (mm)</th>
<th>Isotope</th>
<th>Volume injected (mL)</th>
<th>Dose (MBq)</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Injection site</th>
<th>Associated dye for SLNB</th>
<th>Intraoperative SLN identification rate [% (N)]</th>
<th>Number of SLN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabrera (21)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>June 2010–November 2013</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>99mTc-Phytate</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>US-guidance</td>
<td>Peritumoral</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>91.9 [34]</td>
<td>2^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee S.K. (24)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>June 2009–January 2011</td>
<td>Prospective (RCT)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>12^1</td>
<td>99mTc-Phytate</td>
<td>0.1–0.2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>US-guidance</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>63.0 [80]</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assadi (15)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>April 2010–December 2012</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15^1±4</td>
<td>99mTc-Antimony Sulfide Colloid</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>Yes, 0.5 mL patent blue</td>
<td>63.3 [19]</td>
<td>1^ [1–3]^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Burillo (22)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>December 2009–July 2011</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>99mTc-Nanocoll</td>
<td>0.1–0.2</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>US-guidance</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>95.8 [23]</td>
<td>3.25^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee J. (23)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>August 2010–March 2011</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13^1</td>
<td>99mTc-Phytate</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>97.4 [38]</td>
<td>4.7 [0–12]^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang (19)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>China</td>
<td>January 2004–January 2007</td>
<td>Prospective (RCT)</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>13^1</td>
<td>99mTc-Sulfur colloid</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>Yes, 1.0 mL methylene blue</td>
<td>100 [45]</td>
<td>5.4^1 (3–10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelizzo (9)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>July 2005–June 2009</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>18^1</td>
<td>99mTc-Nanocoll</td>
<td>0.1–0.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>US-guidance</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100 [99]</td>
<td>2^ [1–3]^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee S.K. (20)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>February 2008–May 2008</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>9^1±6^1</td>
<td>99mTc-tin colloid</td>
<td>0.1–0.2</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>US-guidance</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>Yes, 0.1–0.5 mL methylene blue</td>
<td>100 [43]</td>
<td>6^1±3^1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoeckli (18)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>99mTc-Nanocoll</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>US-guidance</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100 [1]</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catarci (16)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>January–July 1999</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>23^1</td>
<td>99mTc-Nanocoll</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>US-guidance</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>Yes, 0.2–0.4 mL patent blue</td>
<td>100 [6]</td>
<td>1^ [1–2]^</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rettenbacher (17)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Austria</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>29^1</td>
<td>99mTc-Nanocoll</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Not described</td>
<td>Intratumoral</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>100 [4]</td>
<td>3^1 [1–4]^</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^1 mean; ^1 median; ^1 range; ^1 standard deviation.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of patients with identified SLN</th>
<th>Type of lymph node dissection</th>
<th>Number of patients with SLN [N (%)]</th>
<th>SLN pathological evaluation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabrera (21)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>SLNB + LND of the SLN compartment</td>
<td>NA NA NA NA</td>
<td>Postoperative pathological analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee S.K. (24)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Central LND + SLNB + MRND if positive SLN</td>
<td>NA 80 NA NA</td>
<td>Intraoperative frozen section of SLN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assadi (15)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>Central LND + SLNB + LND of the SLN compartment + lymph node sampling of the lateral neck compartment</td>
<td>12 (63.2) 7 (36.8) NA NA</td>
<td>Postoperative pathological analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcoforo (10)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>SLNB + LND of the SLN compartment</td>
<td>257 (74.5) 70 (20.3) 4 (1.2) 14 (4.0)</td>
<td>Postoperative pathological analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Burillo (22)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>Central LND + SLNB + LND of the SLN compartment if positive SLN</td>
<td>6 (26.1) 5 (21.7) 12 (52.2) 0</td>
<td>Intraoperative frozen section of SLN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee J. (23)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>Central LND + SLNB + MRND if positive lymph nodes</td>
<td>NA NA NA NA</td>
<td>Intraoperative frozen section of SLN and central compartment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang (19)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Central LND + SLNB + MRND</td>
<td>NA NA NA NA</td>
<td>Postoperative pathological analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelizzo (9)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>SLNB + LND of the SLN compartment if positive SLN</td>
<td>98 (99.0) 0 1 (1.0) 0</td>
<td>Intraoperative frozen section of SLN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee S.K. (20)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>Central LND + SLNB + MRND if positive SLN</td>
<td>14 (32.6) 29 (67.4) NA NA</td>
<td>Intraoperative frozen section of SLN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoeckli (18)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>SLNB</td>
<td>NA NA NA NA</td>
<td>Postoperative pathological analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catarci (16)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>SLNB + LND of the SLN compartment</td>
<td>4 (66.7) 2 (33.3) 0 0</td>
<td>Postoperative pathological analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rettenbacher (17)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>SLNB</td>
<td>NA NA NA NA</td>
<td>Postoperative pathological analysis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LND, lymph node dissection; SLNB, sentinel lymph node biopsy; SLN, sentinel lymph node; MRND, modified radical neck dissection.
Table 3 Sentinel lymph node (SLN) status, false negative rate, site of lymph node metastasis in the neck compartment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Number of patients with identified SLN</th>
<th>Positive SLN [N (%)]</th>
<th>Negative SLN [N (%)]</th>
<th>False negative cases</th>
<th>False negative rate (%)</th>
<th>Number of patients with lymph node metastasis [N (%)]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cabrera (21)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>14 (41.2)</td>
<td>20 (58.8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>17 (50.0) 14 (82.3) 3 (17.7) 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee S.K. (24)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>24 (30.0)</td>
<td>56 (70.0)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>29 (36.3) NA 29 NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assadi (15)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>12 (63.2)</td>
<td>7 (36.8)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18 (94.7) 6 SLN§ (33.3) 6 SLN§ (33.3) NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carcoforo (10)</td>
<td>2014</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>55 (15.9)</td>
<td>290 (84.1)</td>
<td>38 w. SLN- 28 w. SLN+ 38</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>93 (27.0) 72 (77.4) 17 (18.3) 1 (1.1) 3 (3.2) [level VI]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garcia-Burillo (22)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>11 (47.8)</td>
<td>12 (52.2)</td>
<td>2 w. SLN- 2</td>
<td>15.4</td>
<td>13 (56.5) 8 (61.5) 5 (38.5) 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee J. (23)</td>
<td>2013</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>34 (89.5)</td>
<td>4 (10.5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>34 (89.5) 15 (44.1) 19 (55.9) 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huang (19)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>24 (53.3)</td>
<td>21 (46.7)</td>
<td>3 w. SLN- 3</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>27 (60.0) NA NA NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelizzo (9)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>48 (48.5)</td>
<td>51 (51.5)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>48 (48.5) 48 (100.0) 0 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee S.K. (20)</td>
<td>2009</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>21 (48.8)</td>
<td>22 (51.2)</td>
<td>2 w. SLN- 2</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>23 (53.5) 18 (78.3) 5 (21.7) NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stoeckli (18)</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1 (100.0)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>1 (100.0) NA NA NA NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catarci (16)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4 (66.7)</td>
<td>2 (33.3)</td>
<td>2 w. SLN+ 0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 (66.7) 3 (75.0) 1 (25.0) 0 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rettenbacher (17)</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>2 (50.0)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2 (50.0) NA NA NA NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1The three metastatic non-SLN were located in level VI; 2Patients with negative SLN at frozen section and positive SLN at final pathology; 3Patients in which the SLN was not identified, in these patients the site of lymph node metastasis was not described; 4Patients in which the SLN was positive.
Discussion

This systematic review shows that in PTC patients, also in T1–T2 stage, the rSLNB is a feasible technique with an high intraoperative identification rate that allow to identify the possible lymphatic pathway of tumor cells within the neck compartments (i.e., central or lateral). In fact, lymph node metastasis prevail in the central compartment, but the lateral and contralateral compartments may be involved. However, the rSLNB performed alone is burdened by a high FNR that may lead a lymph node understaging with a possible increased risk of persistent disease during follow up. An intraoperative pathological evaluation of the SLN to avoid LND in patients with negative SLN is not recommended due to the high FNR of the frozen section.

Injection site and intraoperative identification rate of SLN

In two studies (15,24) in which the radiotracer was injected intratumorally, the IIR was low (63%) and this may be determined by two main issues: (I) an intratumoral injection theoretically could decrease the SLN detection rate due to poor lymphatic drainage from the tumor parenchyma compared to the peritumoral area; and (II) the learning curve effect may be an important parameter in the SLN mapping success. However, in the other 8 studies in which an intratumoral injection was performed the IIR was high (median 100%; range, 95.8–100%) (Table 1). Thus, in light of that, the learning curve effect seems more important than the poor intratumoral lymphatic drainage for the intraoperative radioguided identification of the SLN. Furthermore, Carcoforo et al. injected the radiotracer peritumorally in the first study period and then intratumorally to improve the preoperative visualization, but the IIR for PTC patients was 100% over the entire study period (10) (Table 1).

Therefore, an intratumoral injection of the radiotracer seems a feasible technique with a high intraoperative identification rate.

SLN status, lymph node metastasis within the neck compartments, and FNR of SLN frozen section

The vast majority of patients involved in the included studies had T1 and T2 PTC (Table 1), and based on the ATA guidelines (1) in these patients a LND would not be recommended, however the rSLNB allowed to identify occult lymph node metastasis in the central, lateral and contralateral neck compartments (Table 3), and then to improve lymph node staging. Generally, the central neck compartment is considered the preferential pathway of PTC lymph node metastasis, however the lateral neck compartments were
involved by tumor cells in a not irrelevant percentage of PTC patients, and this was discovered through the rSLNB. Thus, following the ATA guidelines these PTC patients would have been staged as lymph node negative, and not submitted to radiiodine ablation. These findings are underlined in the studies performed by Cabrera (21), Lee SK (24), Huang (19), and Carcoforo (10) in which if the ATA guidelines had been followed by the Authors, respectively 70.6% (21), 100% (24), 100% (19) and 98.9% (10) of patients with lymph node metastasis would have not been submitted to LND due to the tumor stage T1–T2, and they would have been understaged; thus a persistent disease would have expected in these patients.

Due to the high FNR of the SLN frozen section, this procedure is not recommended to avoid LND during the surgical operation for papillary thyroid cancer.

**FNR of rSLNB and LND**

The FNR is the single most important quality item for the SLN technique, and it may influence the persistent disease during follow up. If a negative SLN biopsy could not definitively exclude the presence of positive basin lymph nodes, it adds no further staging information.

Thus, to evaluate the safety of the rSLNB in the treatment of PTC patients, a LND in the same compartment of the SLN should be performed regardless of the SLN status. In only 5 out of 12 studies a LND of the SLN compartment was performed and the overall FNR was high (25.4%).

Pelizzo et al. in 99 patients performed the LND of the same compartment of the SLN only if the SLN was positive at the frozen section, thus it is not possible to identify patients with negative SLN and metastasis in other lymph node of the same neck compartment for the evaluation of the false negative cases (9). However, the Authors suggested that it is necessary to remove not only the hottest SLN but all nodes with a count higher than 10% of the hottest node, because in their study population among 48 patients with SLN metastasis, in 32 patients the hottest SLN (1st SLN) was positive and in 16 patients were positive the 2nd and/or the 3rd SLN (less radioactive) and not the first one (9). This findings support the hypothesis that in the treatment of PTC the removal of one SLN, contrary to the breast cancer and melanoma, it is not safe due to the possible presence of metastases in other lymph node of the same compartment without involvement of the hottest SLN.

Huang et al. showed that a radioguided technique allow to acquire a 100% of SLN identification rate and a low, but not negligible, FNR removing a high mean number of SLN (19). By contrast, Carcoforo et al. in the largest study on rSLNB in PTC patients showed the same identification rate (100%) but, if the rSLNB is applied like in breast cancer or melanoma by removing the lowest number of SLN for the identification of the true first lymph node that drain the primary tumor (e.g., median of 1 SLN), the FNR increase exponentially to about 40%, showing that for the treatment of PTC, the rSLNB by itself may be abandoned unless the introduction of a new role of radioguided surgery (10). Due to the high FNR of rSLNB, the Authors introduced a new concept of the application of the radioguided technique in the treatment of PTC, proposing a radioguided selective compartment neck dissection (RSCND) performed only in the same compartment of the SLN without both a frozen section of the SLN and a routine/prophylactic central LND. The FNR of such a technique, based on the persistent disease during the first 12 months of follow up, was 1.1% suggesting the safety of the procedure. This new approach may safely increase the identification of patients with lymph node metastasis and possibly reduce the persistent disease, and may avoid a useless central LND in some patients due to the lymphatic drainage in the lateral compartment (10). Thus, the Authors concluded that according to all such data, also considering the high FNR of rSLNB, the radioguided technique may well be applied to PTC patients to guide the compartment oriented lymphadenectomy by RSCND, to increase the metastatic yield and improve staging of the disease, rather than to avoid a prophylactic lymphadenectomy by rSLNB based on intraoperative SLN frozen section. In addition, refining the staging by RSCND allows improvement of the selection of patients for postoperative radiiodine ablation which may potentially reduce the persistent disease rate after operative intervention (10).

This findings suggest that a negative rSLNB performed alone may not exclude the presence of positive basin lymph nodes, thus radioguided surgery may be used to identify the potential pathway of the metastatic cells within the neck compartments, and then to guide the compartment oriented lymphadenectomy.

**Persistent and recurrent disease**

Locoregional recurrence, especially the persistent disease, has been suggested as a valid endpoint to evaluate the
effectiveness of therapy for PTC (25). A persistent disease within the first 12 months of follow up after surgical removal of the primary tumor may depend on a lack of dissection of metastatic lymph node during the first operation that will appear during follow up. This condition may occur in patients who underwent either a prophylactic central compartment LND or a rSLNB performed alone.

Recently, Viola et al. showed a persistent disease of 7.5% in patients who underwent a prophylactic central compartment LND (26), and this result is supported by Durante et al. in a previous multicenter study in which a persistent disease was 7.1% (27). Furthermore, Carcoforo et al. showed an high FNR of the rSLNB performed alone and they pointed out the risk of leaving lymph node metastasis in the neck, due to the negativity of the SLN, that may clinically appear during follow up as a persistent disease (10). Interestingly, Pelizzo et al. that performed the LND of the same compartment of the SLN only if the SLN was positive at the frozen section showed a persistent disease of 5.1%, and it may be influenced by the FNR of frozen section and the FNR of rSLNB performed alone (9). Instead, Carcoforo et al. carried out a compartment oriented LND guided by the SLN and showed a persistent disease of 0.6% (10). Thus, it might be safer to perform a compartment oriented LND in the same compartment of the SLN, regardless of the SLN status, and analyze all the removed lymph nodes at final histopathological evaluation for better staging of the disease and potentially reduce the persistent disease.

Pros and Cons of the rSLNB are summarized in Table 5.

### Limitation of the systematic review

This systematic review has some limitations which have to be pointed out. Firstly, the vast majority of the included studies had a low number of patients involved and it may influence the IIR and the FNR of the rSLNB due to the learning curve effect. Secondly, not in all studies a LND of the SLN compartment was performed, and then it may condition the real overall FNR. Thirdly, nowadays there are no randomized control trials that compare the recent ATA guidelines (1) and the RSCND to evaluate the advantage of the latter technique in term of persistent and recurrent disease, and such a randomized control trial will be required to clarify the role of the radioguided surgery in the treatment of PTC patients. Fourthly, the available validated scales for quality assessment of the included studies in the systematic review were not applicable, thus bias risk may be expected. Fifthly, only articles in English language were included.

### Conclusions

In all PTC patients, also in T1–T2 stage in which lymph node metastasis within the neck compartments may occur, due to the high FNR, the rSLNB performed alone should be abandoned and converted into a technique to guide the lymphadenectomy in a specific neck compartment (i.e., central or lateral), regardless of the SLN status and the tumor size. The radioguided selective compartment neck dissection (RSCND) seems a safe and promising technique
which allow clinicians: (I) to identify PTC patients with lymph node metastasis within the neck compartment for better lymph node staging; (II) to improve a selective intraoperative tumor clearance; (III) to select patients for postoperative radioiodine ablation; and (IV) to potentially reduce the persistent disease rate.
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